arXiv:q-alg/9701010v2 7 Nov 1997

QUANTUM FUNCTION ALGEBRAS AS QUANTUM ENVELOPING ALGEBRAS

FABIO GAVARINI

Institut de Recherche Mathématique Avancée, Université "Louis Pasteur" – C.N.R.S.
7, rue René Descartes, 67084 Strasbourg Cedex, France

ABSTRACT. Inspired by a result in [Ga], we locate three integer forms of $F_q[SL(n+1)]$ over $k\big[q,q^{-1}\big]$, with a presentation by generators and relations, which for $q=1$ specialize to $U(\mathfrak{h})$, where $\mathfrak{h}$ is the Lie bialgebra of the Poisson Lie group dual to $SL(n+1)$. In sight of this we prove two PBW-like theorems for $F_q[SL(n+1)]$, both related to the classical PBW theorem for $U(\mathfrak{h})$.

Introduction

> *"Nel mezzo a una q–algebra di funzioni*
> *io ci ritrovo una tal forma intera*
> *che l'algebra di Lie dual mi doni"*
>
> *N. Barbecue, "Scholia"*

Let G be a connected, simply connected, semisimple algebraic group over an algebraically closed field k of characteristic zero, and consider on it the Sklyanin-Drinfel'd structure of Poisson group (cf. for instance [DP] §11 or [Ga] §1, or even [Dr]); then $\mathfrak{g} := Lie(G)$ is a Lie bialgebra, $F[G]$ is a Poisson Hopf algebra, and $U(\mathfrak{g})$ is a Poisson Hopf coalgebra. Let H be the corresponding dual Poisson (algebraic) group of G, whose tangent Lie bialgebra $\mathfrak{h} := Lie(H)$ is the (linear) dual of $\mathfrak{g}$: then again $F[H]$ is a Poisson Hopf algebra, and $U(\mathfrak{h})$ is a Poisson Hopf coalgebra.

The quantum group $U_q^Q(\mathfrak{g})$ of Drinfel'd and Jimbo provides a quantization of $U(\mathfrak{g})$: namely, $U_q^Q(\mathfrak{g})$ is a Hopf algebra over $k(q)$ which has a $k\big[q,q^{-1}\big]$–form $\mathfrak{U}^Q(\mathfrak{g})$ which for $q \to 1$ specializes to $U(\mathfrak{g})$ as a Poisson Hopf coalgebra. Dually, by means of a Peter-Weyl type axiomatic trick one constructs a Hopf algebra $F_q^P[G]$ of matrix coefficients of $U_q^Q(\mathfrak{g})$ with a $k\big[q,q^{-1}\big]$–form $\mathfrak{F}^P[G]$ which specializes to $F[G]$, as a Poisson Hopf algebra, for

---

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification:* Primary 17B37, 81R50
Partially supported by a post-doc fellowship of the *Consiglio Nazionale delle Ricerche* (Italy)
To appear in *Communications in Algebra*

Typeset by $\mathcal{A}\mathcal{M}\mathcal{S}$-TEX

$q \to 1$. So far the quantization only dealt with the Poisson group G; the dual group H is involved defining a different $k\big[q,q^{-1}\big]$–form $\mathcal{U}^P(\mathfrak{g})$ (of a quantum group $U_q^P(\mathfrak{g})$) which specializes to $F[H]$ (as a Poisson Hopf algebra) for $q \to 1$ (cf. [DP] or [DKP]). In a dual fashion, it is proved in [Ga] — in a wider context — that the dual (in the Hopf sense) quantum function algebra $F_q^Q[G]$ has a $k\big[q,q^{-1}\big]$–integer form $\mathcal{F}^Q[G]$ which for $q \to 1$ specializes to $U(\mathfrak{h})$, as a Poisson Hopf coalgebra. Therefore quantum function algebras can also be thought of as quantum enveloping algebras, whence the title of the paper.

In this paper we stick to the case of the group $G = SL(n+1)$.

Our first goal is to relate the latter result above with the well-known presentation of $F_q^P[SL(n+1)]$ by generators and relations (cf. [FRT]): namely, inspired by the definition of $\mathcal{F}^Q[G]$ and $\mathcal{F}^P[G]$, we define two $k\big[q,q^{-1}\big]$–integer forms $\widetilde{F}_q^Q[SL(n+1)]$ and $\widetilde{F}_q^P[SL(n+1)]$ (along with a third one, $\widetilde{F}_q[SL(n+1)]$) of $F_q^P[SL(n+1)]$; these inherit a presentation by generators and relations, which enables us to prove that they specialize to $U(\mathfrak{h})$ (as a Poisson Hopf coalgebra) for $q \to 1$. As a second step, since for $U(\mathfrak{h})$ one has the Poincaré-Birkhof-Witt (PBW in short) theorem which provides "monomial" basis, because of the previous result we are led to look for PBW-like theorems for $F_q^P[SL(n+1)]$: we provide two of them, both closely related with the classical PBW theorem for $U(\mathfrak{h})$.

The paper is organized as follows. Sections 1, 2 are introductory. Sections 3, 4 are devoted to integer forms of $F_q^P[SL(n+1)]$ and their specialization. Section 5 is an excursus, where we explain the relation among the constructions and results in this paper and those in [Ga]: this is one of the main motivation of this work; on the other hand, this section can be skipped without affecting the comprehension of the rest of the paper, which is completely self-contained. In section 6 we briefly outline the extension of the previous results to the quantum function algebra $F_q[GL(n+1)]$. Finally, section 7 deals with PBW theorems.

§ 1 The universal enveloping algebra $U(\mathfrak{h})$

A presentation of $U(\mathfrak{h})$ by generators and relations (in the general case) can be found in [Ga], §1. When $G = SL(n+1)$, it reads as follows.

$U(\mathfrak{h})$ is the associative k–algebra with 1 generated by $\mathrm{f}_1, \dots, \mathrm{f}_n$, $\mathrm{h}_1, \dots, \mathrm{h}_n$, $\mathrm{e}_1, \dots, \mathrm{e}_n$ (which are to be thought of as "Chevalley generators") with relations

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{h}_i \mathrm{h}_j - \mathrm{h}_j \mathrm{h}_i &= 0 && \forall\, i,j \\
\mathrm{h}_i \mathrm{f}_j - \mathrm{f}_j \mathrm{h}_i &= \big(2\,\delta_{i,j} - \delta_{i-1,j} - \delta_{i+1,j}\big)\, \mathrm{f}_j && \forall\, i,j \\
\mathrm{h}_i \mathrm{e}_j - \mathrm{e}_j \mathrm{h}_i &= \big(2\,\delta_{i,j} - \delta_{i-1,j} - \delta_{i+1,j}\big)\, \mathrm{e}_j && \forall\, i,j \\
\mathrm{f}_i \mathrm{f}_j - \mathrm{f}_j \mathrm{f}_i &= 0 && \forall\, i,j : |i-j| > 1 \\
\mathrm{e}_i \mathrm{e}_j - \mathrm{e}_j \mathrm{e}_i &= 0 && \forall\, i,j : |i-j| > 1 \\
\mathrm{f}_i^2 \mathrm{f}_j - 2\,\mathrm{f}_i \mathrm{f}_j \mathrm{f}_i + \mathrm{f}_j \mathrm{f}_i^2 &= 0 && \forall\, |i-j| = 1 \\
\mathrm{e}_i^2 \mathrm{e}_j - 2\,\mathrm{e}_i \mathrm{e}_j \mathrm{e}_i + \mathrm{e}_j \mathrm{e}_i^2 &= 0 && \forall\, |i-j| = 1 \\
\mathrm{f}_i \mathrm{e}_j - \mathrm{e}_j \mathrm{f}_i &= 0 && \forall\, i,j
\end{aligned}$$

Furthermore $U(\mathfrak{h})$ has a Poisson Hopf coalgebra structure given by

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta(\mathrm{f}_i) &= \mathrm{f}_i \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes \mathrm{f}_i\,, \qquad S(\mathrm{f}_i) = -\mathrm{f}_i\,, \qquad \epsilon(\mathrm{f}_i) = 0 \\ \Delta(\mathrm{h}_i) &= \mathrm{h}_i \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes \mathrm{h}_i\,, \qquad S(\mathrm{h}_i) = -\mathrm{h}_i\,, \qquad \epsilon(\mathrm{h}_i) = 0 \\ \Delta(\mathrm{e}_i) &= \mathrm{e}_i \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes \mathrm{e}_i\,, \qquad S(\mathrm{e}_i) = -\mathrm{e}_i\,, \qquad \epsilon(\mathrm{e}_i) = 0 \end{aligned}$$

for all $i = 1, \dots, n$, and by

$$\delta(\mathrm{f}_i) = \mathrm{h}_i \wedge \mathrm{f}_i + 2 \cdot \left(\sum_{j=1}^{i-1} \mathrm{f}_{i+1,j} \wedge \mathrm{e}_{j,i} + \sum_{j=i+2}^{n+1} \mathrm{e}_{i+1,j} \wedge \mathrm{f}_{j,i} \right)$$

$$\delta(\mathrm{h}_i) = 4 \cdot \left(\sum_{j=1}^{i-1} \mathrm{f}_{i,j} \wedge \mathrm{e}_{j,i} + \sum_{j=i+1}^{n+1} \mathrm{e}_{i,j} \wedge \mathrm{f}_{j,i} - \sum_{j=1}^{i} \mathrm{f}_{i+1,j} \wedge \mathrm{e}_{j,i+1} - \sum_{j=i+2}^{n+1} \mathrm{e}_{i+1,j} \wedge \mathrm{f}_{j,i+1} \right)$$

$$\delta(\mathrm{e}_i) = \mathrm{e}_i \wedge \mathrm{h}_i + 2 \cdot \left(\sum_{j=1}^{i-1} \mathrm{e}_{j,i+1} \wedge \mathrm{f}_{i,j} + \sum_{j=i+2}^{n+1} \mathrm{f}_{j,i+1} \wedge \mathrm{e}_{i,j} \right)$$

for all $i = 1, \dots, n$, where $x \wedge y := x \otimes y - y \otimes x$ and the symbols $\mathrm{f}_{h,k}$, $\mathrm{e}_{h,k}$, have the following meaning:

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathrm{e}_{i,i+1} := \mathrm{e}_i\,, \qquad \mathrm{e}_{i,j} := -\left[\mathrm{e}_{i,j-1}, \mathrm{e}_{j-1,j}\right] = \left[\mathrm{e}_{j-1,j}, \mathrm{e}_{i,j-1}\right] \qquad \forall\, i < j-1 \\ &\mathrm{f}_{j+1,j} := \mathrm{f}_j\,, \qquad \mathrm{f}_{j,i} := \left[\mathrm{f}_{j-1,i}, \mathrm{f}_{j,j-1}\right] = -\left[\mathrm{f}_{j,j-1}, \mathrm{f}_{j-1,i}\right] \qquad \forall\, j > i+1 \end{aligned} \tag{1.1}$$

the symbol $[\ ,\ ]$ denoting the usual commutator. In fact, if $M_{i,j}$ $(i, j \in \{1, 2, \dots, n+1\})$ denotes the square matrix of size $n+1$ with a 1 as (i,j)–th entry and all other entries equal to 0, the recipe $\mathrm{e}_h \mapsto M_{h,h+1} \,\forall\, h = 1, \dots, n$ (resp. $\mathrm{f}_h \mapsto M_{h+1,h} \,\forall\, h = 1, \dots, n$) gives an isomorphism among the Lie subalgebra of $\mathfrak{h}$ generated by the e_h's (resp. f_h's) and the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{n}_+$ (resp. $\mathfrak{n}_+$) of upper (resp. lower) triangular square matrix of size $n+1$; then for $h < k$ the element $\mathrm{e}_{h,k}$ corresponds to the matrix $(-1)^{k-h-1} M_{h,k}$, and it is the root vector e_γ — in the notation of [Ga] — associated to the positive root $\gamma = \sum_{i=h}^{k-1} \alpha_i$ (the α_i's being the simple roots of $SL(n+1)$), and for $h > k$ the element $\mathrm{f}_{h,k}$ corresponds to the matrix $(-1)^{k-h-1} M_{h,k}$, and it is the root vector f_γ — in the notation of [Ga] — associated to the negative root $-\gamma = -\sum_{i=k}^{h-1} \alpha_i$, hence corresponding to $M_{h,k}$. Actually, one can also make different choices for such root vectors, but for the condition that when one of them — say e_γ — is multiplied by a scalar $c \in k \setminus \{0\}$ then the opposite one — f_γ in our case — is multiplied by the inverse scalar c^{-1}; hence the right-hand-side part in the above formulae expressing δ does not change.

§ 2 The quantum function algebra $F_q^P[SL(n+1)]$

Let $U_q^Q\big(\mathfrak{sl}(n+1)\big)$ be the quantized universal enveloping algebra of Drifel'd and Jimbo (cf. [Ji] or [DL], or §5.2 later on). Let $F_q^P[SL(n+1)]$ be its restricted dual Hopf algebra: it is known (cf. [APW], Appendix) that $F_q^P[SL(n+1)]$ has the following presentation: it is the unital associative $k(q)$–algebra generated by $\{\rho_{ij} \mid i,j=1,\dots,n+1\}$ with relations

$$\begin{aligned} &\rho_{ij}\rho_{ik} = q\,\rho_{ik}\rho_{ij}\ , \qquad \rho_{ik}\rho_{hk} = q\,\rho_{hk}\rho_{ik} && \forall\, j<k, i<h \\ &\rho_{il}\rho_{jk} = \rho_{jk}\rho_{il}\ , \qquad \rho_{ik}\rho_{jl} - \rho_{jl}\rho_{ik} = \left(q-q^{-1}\right)\, \rho_{il}\rho_{jk} && \forall\, i<j, k<l \\ &det_q(\rho_{ij}) = 1 \end{aligned}$$

where det_q denotes the so-called *quantum determinant*, defined as

$$det_q(\rho_{ij}) := \sum_{\sigma\in S_{n+1}} (-q)^{l(\sigma)} \rho_{1,\sigma(1)}\rho_{2,\sigma(2)}\cdots\rho_{n+1,\sigma(n+1)}\,.$$

The comultiplication Δ, the counit ϵ, and the antipode S are given by

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta(\rho_{ij}) &= \sum_{k=1}^{n} \rho_{ik}\otimes\rho_{kj} && \forall\, i,j=1,\dots,n+1 \\ \epsilon(\rho_{ij}) &= \delta_{ij} && \forall\, i,j=1,\dots,n+1 \\ S(\rho_{ij}) &= (-q)^{j-i} det_q\left((\rho_{hk})_{h\neq j}^{k\neq i} \right) && \forall\, i,j=1,\dots,n+1\,. \end{aligned}$$

§ 3 The integer forms $\widetilde{F}_q^{Q}[SL(n+1)]$ and $\widetilde{F}_q^{P}[SL(n+1)]$

Definition 3.1. We define $\widetilde{F}_q^{Q}[SL(n+1)]$ to be the $k\left[q,q^{-1}\right]$-subalgebra (with 1) of $F_q^{P}[SL(n+1)]$ generated by the elements

$$\varphi_i := \frac{\rho_{ii}-\rho_{i+1,i+1}}{q-1}\ , \qquad r_{ij} := \left(q-q^{-1}\right)^{\delta_{ij}-1}\rho_{ij} \qquad \forall\, i,j=1,\dots,n+1.$$

3.2 Presentation of $\widetilde{F}_q^{Q}[SL(n+1)]$. The presentation of $F_q^{P}[SL(n+1)]$ above induces a similar presentation of $\widetilde{F}_q^{Q}[SL(n+1)]$: it is the associative $k\left[q,q^{-1}\right]$–algebra with 1 given by generators φ_i , r_{ij} , and relations

$$\begin{aligned} &r_{ij}r_{ik} = q\,r_{ik}r_{ij}\ , \qquad r_{ik}r_{hk} = q\,r_{hk}r_{ik} && \forall\, j<k, i<h \\ &r_{il}r_{jk} = r_{jk}r_{il}\ , \qquad r_{ik}r_{jl} - r_{jl}r_{ik} = \left(q-q^{-1}\right)^{1+\delta_{ik}+\delta_{jl}-\delta_{il}-\delta_{jk}} r_{il}r_{jk} && \forall\, i<j, k<l \\ &\widetilde{det}_q(r_{ij}) = 1 \end{aligned}$$

(where $\widetilde{det}_q$ is defined as

$$\widetilde{det}_q\left((x_{rs})_{r,s=1,\dots,N} \right) := \sum_{\sigma\in S_N} (-q)^{l(\sigma)} \left(q-q^{-1}\right)^{e(\sigma)} x_{1,\sigma(1)}x_{2,\sigma(2)}\cdots x_{N,\sigma(N)}$$

where $e(\sigma) := \sum_{t=1}^{N} (1-\delta_{t,\sigma(t)}\,)$

$$\begin{aligned} (q-1)\,\varphi_i &= r_{ii} - r_{i+1,i+1} && \forall\, i=1,\dots,n \\ \varphi_i r_{jk} - r_{jk}\varphi_i &= 0 && \forall\, j<i, k>i+1\,,\ \forall\, j>i+1, k<i \\ \varphi_i r_{jk} - r_{jk}\varphi_i &= (q-1)^{1+\delta_{jk}} \left(1+q^{-1}\right)^{2+\delta_{jk}} \left(r_{i+1,k}r_{j,i+1} - r_{ik}r_{ji}\right) && \forall\, j<i, k<i \end{aligned}$$

$$\varphi_i r_{jk} - r_{jk} \varphi_i = -(q-1)^{1+\delta_{jk}} \big(1+q^{-1}\big)^{2+\delta_{jk}} (r_{i+1,k} r_{j,i+1} - r_{ik} r_{ji}) \qquad \forall\, j > i{+}1, k > i{+}1$$
$$\varphi_i r_{ji} - r_{ji} \varphi_i = -r_{ii} r_{ji} + (q-1)\big(1+q^{-1}\big)^2 r_{j,i+1} r_{i+1,i} \qquad \forall\, j < i$$
$$\varphi_i r_{ji} - r_{ji} \varphi_i = r_{ji} r_{ii} \qquad \forall\, j > i+1$$
$$\varphi_i r_{j,i+1} - r_{j,i+1} \varphi_i = r_{i+1,i+1} r_{j,i+1} \qquad \forall\, j < i$$
$$\varphi_i r_{j,i+1} - r_{j,i+1} \varphi_i = -r_{j,i+1} r_{i+1,i+1} + (q-1)\big(1+q^{-1}\big)^2 r_{i,i+1} r_{ji} \qquad \forall\, j < i$$
$$\varphi_i r_{ij} - r_{ij} \varphi_i = -r_{ii} r_{ij} + (q-1)\big(1+q^{-1}\big)^2 r_{i,i+1} r_{i+1,j} \qquad \forall\, j < i$$
$$\varphi_i r_{ij} - r_{ij} \varphi_i = r_{ij} r_{ii} \qquad \forall\, j > i+1$$
$$\varphi_i r_{i+1,j} - r_{i+1,j} \varphi_i = r_{i+1,i+1} r_{i+1,j} \qquad \forall\, j < i$$
$$\varphi_i r_{i+1,j} - r_{i+1,j} \varphi_i = -\, r_{i+1,j} r_{i+1,i+1} + (q-1)\big(1+q^{-1}\big)^2 r_{i,j} r_{i+1,i} \qquad \forall\, j > i+1$$
$$\varphi_i r_{ii} - r_{ii} \varphi_i = (q-1)^2 \big(1+q^{-1}\big)^3 r_{i+1,i} r_{i,i+1} \qquad \forall\, i$$
$$\varphi_i r_{i+1,i+1} - r_{i+1,i+1} \varphi_i = (q-1)^2 \big(1+q^{-1}\big)^3 r_{i+1,i} r_{i,i+1} \qquad \forall\, i$$
$$\varphi_i r_{i,i+1} - r_{i,i+1} \varphi_i = r_{i,i+1} r_{ii} + r_{i+1,i+1} r_{i,i+1} \qquad \forall\, i$$
$$\varphi_i r_{i+1,i} - r_{i+1,i} \varphi_i = r_{i+1,i} r_{ii} + r_{i+1,i+1} r_{i+1,i} \qquad \forall\, i$$
$$\varphi_i \varphi_j - \varphi_j \varphi_i =$$
$$= (q{-}1)\big(1{+}q^{-1}\big)^3 \big(r_{ij} r_{ji} + r_{i+1,j+1} r_{j+1,i+1} - r_{i,j+1} r_{j+1,i} - (1{-}\delta_{i+1,j})\, r_{i+1,j} r_{j,i+1}\big) \ \forall\, i, j$$

Moreover, from the very definitions we also get that $\widetilde{F}_q^Q[SL(n+1)]$ is a Hopf subalgebra of $F_q^P[SL(n+1)]$, with Hopf structure uniquely determined by the following formulae:

$$\Delta(r_{ij}) = r_{ii} \otimes r_{ij} + r_{ij} \otimes r_{jj} + (q-1)\left(1+q^{-1}\right) \sum_{\substack{k=1 \\ k \neq i,j}}^{n+1} r_{ik} \otimes r_{kj} \qquad \forall\, i \neq j$$
$$\Delta(r_{ii}) = r_{ii} \otimes r_{ii} + (q-1)^2 \big(1+q^{-1}\big)^2 \sum_{\substack{k=1 \\ k \neq i}}^{n+1} r_{ik} \otimes r_{kj} \qquad \forall\, i$$
$$\Delta(\varphi_i) = r_{i,i} \otimes \varphi_i + \varphi_i \otimes r_{i+1,i+1} + (q-1)\big(1+q^{-1}\big)^2 \left(\sum_{\substack{k=1 \\ k \neq i}}^{n+1} r_{i,k} \otimes r_{k,i} - \sum_{\substack{k=1 \\ k \neq i+1}}^{n+1} r_{i+1,k} \otimes r_{k,i+1} \right) \forall\, i$$
$$S(r_{ij}) = (-q)^{j-i} \widetilde{det}_q \left((r_{hk})_{h \neq j}^{k \neq i} \right) \qquad \forall\, i, j$$
$$S(\varphi_i) = -r_{1,1} r_{2,2} \cdots r_{i-1,i-1} \varphi_i r_{i+2,i+2} \cdots r_{n+1,n+1} +$$
$$+ \sum_{\sigma \in S_n \setminus \{1\}} (-q)^{l(\sigma)} (q-1)^{e(\sigma)-1} \big(1+q^{-1}\big)^{e(\sigma)} \left(\prod_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq i+1}}^{n+1} r_{j,\sigma(j)} - \prod_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq i}}^{n+1} r_{j,\sigma(j)} \right) \qquad \forall\, i$$
$$\varepsilon(r_{ij}) = \delta_{ij}\,, \qquad \varepsilon(\varphi_i) = 0 \qquad \forall\, i, j$$

Remark 3.3. It is clear by definition that $\widetilde{F}_q^Q[SL(n+1)]$ is a $k\big[q,q^{-1}\big]$–integer form of $F_q^P[SL(n+1)]$: in other words, it is a Hopf $k\big[q,q^{-1}\big]$–subalgebra of $F_q^P[SL(n+1)]$ which is flat as a $k\big[q,q^{-1}\big]$–module and is such that $k(q)\otimes_{k\,[q,q^{-1}]}\widetilde{F}_q^Q[SL(n+1)]\cong F_q^P[SL(n+1)]$ as Hopf $k(q)$–algebras.

Definition 3.4. We define $\widetilde{F}_q^P[SL(n+1)]$ to be the $k\big[q,q^{-1}\big]$-subalgebra (with 1) of $F_q^P[SL(n+1)]$ generated by the elements

$$\psi_i := \frac{\rho_{11}\rho_{22}\cdots\rho_{ii}-1}{q-1}\ , \qquad r_{ij} := \big(q-q^{-1}\big)^{\delta_{ij}-1}\rho_{ij} \qquad \forall\, i,j=1,\dots,n+1.$$

3.5 Presentation of $\widetilde{F}_q^P[SL(n+1)]$. The presentation of $F_q^P[SL(n+1)]$ above induces a similar presentation of $\widetilde{F}_q^P[SL(n+1)]$: in fact the latter is the associative $k\big[q,q^{-1}\big]$–algebra with 1 given by generators ψ_i, r_{ij}, and relations

$$r_{ij}r_{ik} = q\,r_{ik}r_{ij}\ , \qquad r_{ik}r_{hk} = q\,r_{hk}r_{ik} \qquad \forall\, j<k, i<h$$

$$r_{il}r_{jk} = r_{jk}r_{il}\ , \qquad r_{ik}r_{jl}-r_{jl}r_{ik} = \big(q-q^{-1}\big)^{1+\delta_{ik}+\delta_{jl}-\delta_{il}-\delta_{jk}}\,r_{il}r_{jk} \qquad \forall\, i<j, k<l$$

$$\widetilde{det}_q(r_{ij}) = 1$$

$$(q-1)\,\psi_i = r_{11}r_{22}\cdots r_{ii}-1 \qquad \forall\, i=1,\dots,n+1$$

$$\psi_i r_{jk} = q^{1-\eta(i,j,k)-\zeta(i,j,k)}r_{jk}\psi_i + (q-1)^{1+\delta_{jk}}\big(1+q^{-1}\big)^{2+\delta_{jk}}\,\cdot$$

$$\cdot\sum_{s=1}^{i}\big(\eta(i,j,k)-\zeta(i,j,k)\big)r_{11}\cdots r_{s-1,s-1}r_{sk}r_{js}r_{s+1,s+1}\cdots r_{ii} \qquad \forall\, i,j,k$$

where $\eta(i,j,k) := \begin{cases} 1\,, & \forall\, i<j\wedge k \\ 0\,, & \forall\, i\geq j\wedge k\end{cases}$ and $\zeta(i,j,k) := \begin{cases} 0\,, & \forall\, i<j\vee k \\ 1\,, & \forall\, i\geq j\vee k\end{cases}$

$$\psi_{n+1} = -\sum_{\substack{\sigma\in S_{n+1}\\ \sigma\neq id}}(-q)^{l(\sigma)}(q-1)^{e(\sigma)-1}\big(1+q^{-1}\big)^{e(\sigma)}r_{1,\sigma(1)}r_{2,\sigma(2)}\cdots r_{n+1,\sigma(n+1)}$$

$$\psi_i\psi_j-\psi_j\psi_i = (q-1)\big(1+q^{-1}\big)^3\,\cdot$$

$$\cdot\sum_{k=i+1}^{j}\sum_{s=1}^{i}r_{11}r_{22}\cdots r_{k-1,k-1}\cdot r_{11}r_{22}\cdots r_{s-1,s-1}r_{sk}r_{ks}r_{s+1,s+1}\cdots r_{ii}\cdot r_{k+1,k+1}r_{k+2,k+2}\cdots r_{jj}\ \forall\, i<j$$

Furthermore, from the very definitions we also get that $\widetilde{F}_q^P[SL(n+1)]$ is a Hopf subalgebra of $F_q^P[SL(n+1)]$, with Hopf structure uniquely determined by the following formulae:

$$\Delta(r_{ij}) = r_{ii}\otimes r_{ij} + r_{ij}\otimes r_{jj} + (q-1)\,\big(1+q^{-1}\big)\sum_{\substack{k=1\\ k\neq i,j}}^{n+1} r_{ik}\otimes r_{kj} \qquad \forall\, i\neq j$$

$$\Delta(r_{ii}) = r_{ii}\otimes r_{ii} + (q-1)^2\big(1+q^{-1}\big)^2\sum_{\substack{k=1\\ k\neq i}}^{n+1} r_{ik}\otimes r_{kj} \qquad \forall\, i$$

$$\Delta(\psi_i) = \left(1+q^{-1}\right) \cdot \sum_s \left(q-q^{-1}\right)^{2\cdot N(s)-1} \prod_{k=1}^{i} r_{k,s(k)} \otimes r_{s(k),k} + \psi_i \otimes r_{1,1} r_{2,2} \cdots r_{i,i} + 1 \otimes \psi_i \quad \forall\, i$$

(where s ranges over all maps $s \colon \{1,2,\dots,i\} \to \{1,2,\dots,n+1\}$ such that $s(j) \neq j$ for some $j \in \{1,2,\dots,i\}$, and $N(s) := \sum_{j=1}^{i} \left(1-\delta_{j,s(j)}\right)$)

$$\begin{aligned} S(r_{ij}) &= (-q)^{j-i} \widetilde{det}_q \left((r_{hk})_{h\neq j}^{k\neq i} \right) && \forall\, i,j \\ S(\psi_i) &= -\psi_i + \mathcal{O}(q-1) && \forall\, i \\ \varepsilon(r_{ij}) &= \delta_{ij}\,, \qquad \varepsilon(\psi_i) = 0 && \forall\, i,j \end{aligned}$$

where $\mathcal{O}(q-1)$ denotes some element of $(q-1) \cdot \widetilde{F}_q^{P}[SL(n+1)]$. To give an example, we show that $S(\psi_i) = -\psi_i + \mathcal{O}(q-1)$. By definition we have

$$S(\psi_i) = S\left(\frac{r_{11} r_{22} \cdots r_{ii} - 1}{q-1} \right) = \frac{S\left(r_{ii}\right) \cdots S\left(r_{22}\right) S\left(r_{11}\right) - 1}{q-1} \ ;$$

but

$$\begin{aligned} S\left(r_{jj}\right) &= \widetilde{det}_q \left(\left(r_{hk}\right)_{h,k\neq j} \right) = \\ &= r_{11} r_{22} \cdots r_{j-1,j-1} \cdot r_{j+1,j+1} \cdots r_{n+1,n+1} + \mathcal{O}\left((q-1)^2 \right) = \prod_{\substack{s=1 \\ s\neq j}}^{n+1} r_{ss} + \mathcal{O}\left((q-1)^2 \right) \end{aligned}$$

for all j, and

$$1 = \widetilde{det}_q \left(r_{hk}\right) = r_{11} r_{22} \cdots r_{n+1,n+1} + \mathcal{O}\left((q-1)^2 \right)$$

therefore

$$S(\psi_i) = \frac{ \prod_{\substack{s=1 \\ s\neq i}}^{n+1} r_{ss} \cdot \prod_{\substack{s=1 \\ s\neq i-1}}^{n+1} r_{ss} \cdots \prod_{\substack{s=1 \\ s\neq 2}}^{n+1} r_{ss} \cdot \prod_{\substack{s=1 \\ s\neq 1}}^{n+1} r_{ss} - \left(\prod_{s=1}^{n+1} r_{ss} \right)^{i} }{q-1} + \mathcal{O}\left(q-1\right) \ ;$$

now using the fact that $r_{hh} r_{kk} = r_{kk} r_{hh} + \mathcal{O}\left((q-1)^3 \right)$ we get

$$S(\psi_i) = \prod_{\substack{s=1 \\ s\neq i}}^{n+1} r_{ss} \cdot \prod_{\substack{s=1 \\ s\neq i-1}}^{n+1} r_{ss} \cdots \prod_{\substack{s=1 \\ s\neq 2}}^{n+1} r_{ss} \cdot \prod_{\substack{s=1 \\ s\neq 1}}^{n+1} r_{ss} \cdot \frac{1 - r_{11} r_{22} \cdots r_{ii}}{q-1} + \mathcal{O}\left(q-1\right)$$

and finally, since $r_{jj} = 1 + \mathcal{O}\left(q-1\right)$ (as one easily gets from relations $(q-1)\,\psi_s = r_{11} r_{22} \cdots r_{ss} - 1$), we find

$$S(\psi_i) = \frac{1 - r_{11} r_{22} \cdots r_{ii}}{q-1} + \mathcal{O}\left(q-1\right) = -\psi_i + \mathcal{O}\left(q-1\right) \ , \quad \text{q.e.d.}$$

Remark 3.6. Here again, it is clear — by definition and by the description of the Hopf structure — that $\widetilde{F}_q^{\scriptscriptstyle P}[SL(n+1)]$ is a $k\big[q,q^{-1}\big]$–integer form of $F_q^{\scriptscriptstyle P}[SL(n+1)]$.

Definition 3.7. We define $\widetilde{F}_q[SL(n+1)]$ to be the $k\big[q,q^{-1}\big]$-subalgebra (with 1) of $F_q^{\scriptscriptstyle P}[SL(n+1)]$ generated by the elements

$$\chi_i := \frac{\rho_{ii}-1}{q-1}\ , \qquad r_{ij} := \big(q-q^{-1}\big)^{\delta_{ij}-1}\rho_{ij} \qquad \forall\, i,j=1,\dots,n+1.$$

3.8 Presentation of $\widetilde{F}_q[SL(n+1)]$. Again, we have a presentation of $\widetilde{F}_q[SL(n+1)]$: it is the associative $k\big[q,q^{-1}\big]$–algebra with 1 given by generators χ_i , r_{ij} , and relations

$$\begin{aligned}
& r_{ij}r_{ik} = q\, r_{ik}r_{ij}\ , \qquad r_{ik}r_{hk} = q\, r_{hk}r_{ik} && \forall\, j<k, i<h \\
& r_{il}r_{jk} = r_{jk}r_{il}\ , \qquad r_{ik}r_{jl} - r_{jl}r_{ik} = \big(q-q^{-1}\big)^{1+\delta_{ik}+\delta_{jl}-\delta_{il}-\delta_{jk}}\, r_{il}r_{jk} && \forall\, i<j, k<l \\
& \widetilde{det}_q(r_{ij}) = 1 && \\
& (q-1)\,\chi_i = r_{ii} - 1 && \forall\, i=1,\dots,n+1 \\
& \chi_i r_{jk} - r_{jk}\chi_i = 0 && \forall\, j<i<k\,,\ \forall\, j>i>k \\
& \chi_i r_{ji} - r_{ji}\chi_i = -r_{ii}r_{ji} && \forall\, j<i \\
& \chi_i r_{ji} - r_{ji}\chi_i = +r_{ii}r_{ji} && \forall\, j>i \\
& \chi_i r_{ii} - r_{ii}\chi_i = 0 && \forall\, i \\
& \chi_i r_{ik} - r_{ik}\chi_i = -r_{ii}r_{ik} && \forall\, k<i \\
& \chi_i r_{ik} - r_{ik}\chi_i = +r_{ii}r_{ik} && \forall\, k>i \\
& \chi_i r_{jk} - r_{jk}\chi_i = -(q-1)^2\big(1+q^{-1}\big)^3 r_{ii}r_{ik} && \forall\, j,k<i \\
& \chi_i r_{jk} - r_{jk}\chi_i = +(q-1)^2\big(1+q^{-1}\big)^3 r_{ii}r_{ik} && \forall\, j,k>i \\
& \chi_i\chi_j - \chi_j\chi_i = (1-\delta_{ij})\cdot(q-1)\big(1+q^{-1}\big)^3 r_{ij}r_{ji} && \forall\, i\leq j
\end{aligned}$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n+1} r_{1,1}r_{2,2}\cdots r_{i-1,i-1}\chi_i =$$

$$= \sum_{\sigma\in S_{n+1}\setminus\{1\}} (-q)^{l(\sigma)}\,(q-1)^{e(\sigma)-1}\big(1+q^{-1}\big)^{e(\sigma)} r_{1,\sigma(1)}r_{2,\sigma(2)}\cdots r_{n+1,\sigma(n+1)}$$

A straightforward verification shows that $\widetilde{F}_q[SL(n+1)]$ is also a Hopf subalgebra of $F_q^{\scriptscriptstyle P}[SL(n+1)]$, whose Hopf structure is given by formulae

$$\Delta(r_{ij}) = r_{ii}\otimes r_{ij} + r_{ij}\otimes r_{jj} + (q-1)\,\big(1+q^{-1}\big)\sum_{\substack{k=1\\ k\neq i,j}}^{n+1} r_{ik}\otimes r_{kj} \qquad \forall\, i\neq j$$

$$\Delta(r_{ii}) = r_{ii}\otimes r_{ii} + (q-1)^2\big(1+q^{-1}\big)^2\sum_{\substack{k=1\\ k\neq i}}^{n+1} r_{ik}\otimes r_{kj} \qquad \forall\, i$$

$$\Delta(\chi_i) = r_{ii} \otimes \chi_i + (q-1)\big(1+q^{-1}\big)^2 \sum_{\substack{k=1 \\ k\neq i}}^{n+1} r_{i,k} \otimes r_{k,i} \qquad \forall\, i$$

$$S(r_{ij}) = (-q)^{j-i} \widetilde{det}_q \left((r_{hk})_{h\neq j}^{k\neq i} \right) \qquad \forall\, i,j$$

$$\begin{aligned} S(\chi_i) = {} & -r_{1,1} r_{2,2} \cdots r_{i-1,i-1} \chi_i r_{i+2,i+2} \cdots r_{n+1,n+1} + \\ & + \sum_{\sigma \in S_n \setminus \{1\}} (-q)^{l(\sigma)} (q-1)^{e(\sigma)-1} \big(1+q^{-1}\big)^{e(\sigma)} \prod_{\substack{j=1 \\ j\neq i \\ \sigma(j)\neq i}}^{n+1} r_{j,\sigma(j)} - \\ & - \sum_{\sigma \in S_{n+1} \setminus \{1\}} (-q)^{l(\sigma)} (q-1)^{e(\sigma)-1} \big(1+q^{-1}\big)^{e(\sigma)} \prod_{j=1}^{n+1} r_{j,\sigma(j)} \qquad \forall\, i \end{aligned}$$

$$\varepsilon(r_{ij}) = \delta_{ij}\,, \qquad \varepsilon(\chi_i) = 0 \qquad \forall\, i,j\,.$$

Remark 3.9. By definition and by the description of its Hopf structure we see at once that $\widetilde{F}_q[SL(n+1)]$ is a $k\big[q,q^{-1}\big]$–integer form of $F_q^P[SL(n+1)]$.

§ 4 The main theorem: specialization results

Since the integer forms we are dealing with are Hopf algebras *over the ring* $k\big[q,q^{-1}\big]$, we can consider their specialization at $q=1$, namely the Hopf k–algebras

$$\begin{aligned} \widetilde{F}_1^Q[SL(n+1)] &:= \widetilde{F}_q^Q[SL(n+1)] \Big/ (q-1)\, \widetilde{F}_q^Q[SL(n+1)]\,, \\ \widetilde{F}_1^P[SL(n+1)] &:= \widetilde{F}_q^P[SL(n+1)] \Big/ (q-1)\, \widetilde{F}_q^P[SL(n+1)]\,, \\ \widetilde{F}_1[SL(n+1)] &:= \widetilde{F}_q[SL(n+1)] \Big/ (q-1)\, \widetilde{F}_q[SL(n+1)]\,. \end{aligned}$$

Our main result is the following:

Theorem 4.1. *The Hopf k–algebras $\widetilde{F}_1^Q[SL(n+1)]$, $\widetilde{F}_1^P[SL(n+1)]$, and $\widetilde{F}_1[SL(n+1)]$, are Poisson Hopf coalgebras isomorphic to $U(\mathfrak{h})$. In other words, $\widetilde{F}_q^Q[SL(n+1)]$, $\widetilde{F}_q^P[SL(n+1)]$, and $\widetilde{F}_q[SL(n+1)]$ all specialize to the Poisson Hopf coalgebra $U(\mathfrak{h})$.*

Proof. Consider $\widetilde{F}_1^Q[SL(n+1)]$; it inherits from $\widetilde{F}_q^Q[SL(n+1)]$ the following presentation (which is obtained from that of $\widetilde{F}_q^Q[SL(n+1)]$ by setting $q=1$): it is the unital associative k–algebra with generators r_{ij}, φ_k ($i,j=1,\dots,n+1$; $k=1,\dots,n$) and relations

$$r_{ij} r_{ik} = r_{ik} r_{ij}\,, \qquad r_{ik} r_{hk} = r_{hk} r_{ik} \qquad \forall\, j<k, i<h$$

$$r_{il} r_{jk} = r_{jk} r_{il}\,, \qquad r_{ik} r_{jl} - r_{jl} r_{ik} = (0)^{1+\delta_{ik}+\delta_{jl}-\delta_{il}-\delta_{jk}}\, r_{il} r_{jk} \qquad \forall\, i<j, k<l$$

$$r_{1,1} r_{2,2} \cdots r_{n+1,n+1} = 1$$

$$0 = r_{ii} - r_{i+1,i+1} \qquad \forall\, i=1,\dots,n$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\varphi_i r_{jk} - r_{jk} \varphi_i &= 0 && \forall\, j < i, k > i+1\,,\ \forall\, j > i+1, k < i \\
\varphi_i r_{jk} - r_{jk} \varphi_i &= 0 && \forall\, j < i, k < i \\
\varphi_i r_{jk} - r_{jk} \varphi_i &= 0 && \forall\, j > i+1, k > i+1 \\
\varphi_i r_{ji} - r_{ji} \varphi_i &= -r_{ii} r_{ji} && \forall\, j < i \\
\varphi_i r_{ji} - r_{ji} \varphi_i &= r_{ji} r_{ii} && \forall\, j > i+1 \\
\varphi_i r_{j,i+1} - r_{j,i+1} \varphi_i &= r_{i+1,i+1} r_{j,i+1} && \forall\, j < i \\
\varphi_i r_{j,i+1} - r_{j,i+1} \varphi_i &= -r_{j,i+1} r_{i+1,i+1} && \forall\, j < i \\
\varphi_i r_{ij} - r_{ij} \varphi_i &= -r_{ii} r_{ij} && \forall\, j < i \\
\varphi_i r_{ij} - r_{ij} \varphi_i &= r_{ij} r_{ii} && \forall\, j > i+1 \\
\varphi_i r_{i+1,j} - r_{i+1,j} \varphi_i &= r_{i+1,i+1} r_{i+1,j} && \forall\, j < i \\
\varphi_i r_{i+1,j} - r_{i+1,j} \varphi_i &= -r_{i+1,j} r_{i+1,i+1} && \forall\, j > i+1 \\
\varphi_i r_{ii} - r_{ii} \varphi_i &= 0 && \forall\, i \\
\varphi_i r_{i+1,i+1} - r_{i+1,i+1} \varphi_i &= 0 && \forall\, i \\
\varphi_i r_{i,i+1} - r_{i,i+1} \varphi_i &= r_{i,i+1} r_{ii} + r_{i+1,i+1} r_{i,i+1} && \forall\, i \\
\varphi_i r_{i+1,i} - r_{i+1,i} \varphi_i &= r_{i+1,i} r_{ii} + r_{i+1,i+1} r_{i+1,i} && \forall\, i \\
\varphi_i \varphi_j - \varphi_j \varphi_i &= 0 && \forall\, i, j
\end{aligned}$$

Moreover, its Hopf structure is given by

$$\begin{aligned}
\Delta(r_{ij}) &= r_{ii} \otimes r_{ij} + r_{ij} \otimes r_{jj} && \forall\, i \neq j \\
\Delta(r_{ii}) &= r_{ii} \otimes r_{ii} && \forall\, i \\
\Delta(\varphi_i) &= r_{ii} \otimes \varphi_i + \varphi_i \otimes r_{i+1,i+1} && \forall\, i \\
S(r_{ij}) &= -r_{ij} \cdot \prod_{\substack{k=1 \\ k \neq i,j}}^{n+1} r_{k,k} && \forall\, i, j \\
S(\varphi_i) &= -r_{1,1} r_{2,2} \cdots r_{i-1,i-1} \varphi_i r_{i+2,i+2} \cdots r_{n+1,n+1} && \forall\, i \\
\varepsilon(r_{ij}) &= \delta_{ij}\,, \qquad \varepsilon(\varphi_i) = 0 && \forall\, i, j\,.
\end{aligned}$$

In particular we have that $r_{1,1} = r_{2,2} = \cdots = r_{n+1,n+1}$, hence $r_{i,i}^{n+1} = 1$ for all i, whence $r_{i,i} \in k$ (k is algebraically closed); but then $\Delta(r_{ii}) = r_{ii} \otimes r_{ii}$ implies $r_{ii} = 1$. Now relations $r_{ik} r_{jl} - r_{jl} r_{ik} = (0)^{1+\delta_{ik}+\delta_{jl}-\delta_{il}-\delta_{jk}}\, r_{il} r_{jk}$ $(i < j, k < l)$ gives in particular $r_{i,j-1} r_{j-1,j} - r_{j-1,j} r_{i,j-1} = (0)^{\delta_{i,j-1}}\, r_{i,j} r_{j-1,j-1}$ $(i < j)$, and similarly $r_{i,j+1} r_{j+1,j} - r_{j+1,j} r_{i,j+1} = (0)^{\delta_{i,j+1}}\, r_{i,j} r_{j+1,j+1}$ $(i < j)$, whence we deduce that the elements $r_{i,i+1}$, $r_{i+1,i}$ $(i = 1, \dots, n)$ together with the φ_j's are enough to generate $\widetilde{F}_1^Q[SL(n+1)]$.

Now from the relations above one finds that for the generators $r_{i,i+1}$, φ_i, $r_{i+1,i}$ $(i = 1, \dots, n)$ exactly the same relations hold than we have in §1 for the generators $-\mathrm{f}_i$, h_i, e_i, of $U(\mathfrak{h})$: therefore $\widetilde{F}_1^Q[SL(n+1)]$ and $U(\mathfrak{h})$, having the same presentation, are isomorphic as k–algebras. Nevertheless, the formulae for the values of Hopf operations (of $\widetilde{F}_1^Q[SL(n+1)]$) on the generators $r_{i,i+1}$, φ_i, $r_{i+1,i}$ are exactly the same — when taking into account that

$r_{ii} = 1$ for all i — than similar formulae for the generators $-\mathrm{f}_i$, h_i, e_i, of $U(\mathfrak{h})$: thus the k–algebra isomorphism $\Phi : \widetilde{F}_1^Q[SL(n+1)] \longrightarrow U(\mathfrak{h})$ given by

$$\Phi : \qquad r_{i,i+1} \mapsto -\mathrm{f}_i\,, \quad \varphi_i \mapsto \mathrm{h}_i\,, \quad r_{i+1,i} \mapsto +\mathrm{e}_i\,, \tag{4.1}$$

is even one of Hopf algebras. In particular, the comultiplication of $\widetilde{F}_1^Q[SL(n+1)]$ is cocommutative: hence a Poisson cobracket $\delta \colon \widetilde{F}_1^Q[SL(n+1)] \longrightarrow \widetilde{F}_1^Q[SL(n+1)] \otimes \widetilde{F}_1^Q[SL(n+1)]$ is canonically defined by $\delta\left(x\big|_{q=1}\right) := \left.\frac{(\Delta-\Delta^{op})(x)}{q-1}\right|_{q=1}$.

In order to compare the latter Poisson cobracket with the one on $U(\mathfrak{h})$ given from scratch, we have to unravel the preimage in $\widetilde{F}_1^Q[SL(n+1)]$ of root vectors in $U(\mathfrak{h})$.

We already saw that $r_{ii} = 1$ $(\, i = 1, \dots, n+1 \,)$ in $\widetilde{F}_1^Q[SL(n+1)]$; from this fact and the relations $r_{ik} r_{jl} - r_{jl} r_{ik} = (0)^{1+\delta_{ik}+\delta_{jl}-\delta_{il}-\delta_{jk}}\, r_{il} r_{jk}$ $(\, i<j,\, k<l \,)$, for $j = k$ one gets

$$r_{il} = +\big[r_{ij}\,,\, r_{jl}\big] \qquad \forall\, i<j<l\,,$$

and similarly for $i = l$

$$r_{jk} = -\big[r_{ji}\,,\, r_{ik}\big] \qquad \forall\, j>i>k\,;$$

in particular

$$\begin{aligned} r_{ij} &= +\big[r_{i,j-1}\,,\, r_{j-1,j}\big] = -\big[r_{j-1,j}\,, r_{i,j-1}\big] \qquad && \forall\, i<j-1\,, \\ r_{ji} &= -\big[r_{j,j-1}\,,\, r_{j-1,i}\big] = +\big[r_{j-1,i}\,,\, r_{j,j-1}\big] \qquad && \forall\, j>i+1\,. \end{aligned} \tag{4.2}$$

Comparing (4.2) and (1.1), by a simple induction one gets from (4.1) that

$$\Phi\big(r_{ij}\big) = (-1)^{j-i}\,\mathrm{f}_{ji}\,, \qquad \Phi\big(r_{ji}\big) = (-1)^{j-i-1}\mathrm{e}_{ij}\,, \qquad \forall\, i<j\,. \tag{4.3}$$

Now, a straightforward computation gives

$$\begin{aligned} \delta(r_{i,i+1}) &= \varphi_i \otimes r_{i,i+1} - r_{i,i+1} \otimes \varphi_i + 2 \cdot \sum_{j=1}^{n+1}{}^{\widehat{i},\widehat{i+1}} \big(r_{i,j} \otimes r_{j,i+1} - r_{j,i+1} \otimes r_{i,j}\big) \\ \delta(\varphi_i) &= 4 \cdot \left(\sum_{j=1}^{n+1} \big(r_{i,j} \otimes r_{j,i} - r_{j,i} \otimes r_{i,j}\big) - \sum_{j=1}^{n+1} \big(r_{i+1,j} \otimes r_{j,i+1} - r_{j,i+1} \otimes r_{i+1,j}\big) \right) \\ \delta(r_{i+1,i}) &= r_{i+1,i} \otimes \varphi_i - \varphi_i \otimes r_{i+1,i} + 2 \cdot \sum_{j=1}^{n+1}{}^{\widehat{i},\widehat{i+1}} \big(r_{i+1,j} \otimes r_{j,i} - r_{j,i} \otimes r_{i+1,j}\big) \end{aligned}$$

(for all $i = 1, \dots, n$), where a superscript $\widehat{h}$ means that the index h must be discarded; then it is a simple task of rewriting (using (4.3)) to see that these formulae correspond — via Φ — to the analogous ones for $U(\mathfrak{h})$. Thus the isomorphism $\Phi : \widetilde{F}_1^Q[SL(n+1)] \longrightarrow U(\mathfrak{h})$ above is one of Poisson Hopf coalgebras; so we have proved the claim for $\widetilde{F}_1^Q[SL(n+1)]$.

As for the other two algebras, we shall shortly conclude relying on the first one. In fact $\widetilde{F}_1^P[SL(n+1)]$ and $\widetilde{F}_1[SL(n+1)]$ differ from $\widetilde{F}_1^Q[SL(n+1)]$ only for "toral" generators: the ψ_i's, resp. the χ_i's, instead of the φ_i's. Now, definitions give at once

$$\psi_i = \chi_1 + r_{1,1}\chi_2 + r_{1,1}r_{2,2}\chi_3 + \cdots + r_{1,1}r_{2,2}\cdots r_{i-1,i-1}\chi_i \qquad \forall\, i = 1, \dots, n+1$$

so that, since $r_{j,j} \equiv 1 \mod (q-1)$, we have that $\{\psi_1, \dots, \psi_{n+1}\}$ modulo $(q-1)$ and $\{\chi_1, \dots, \chi_{n+1}\}$ modulo $(q-1)$ span the same k–vector space, whence

$$\widetilde{F}_1^P[SL(n+1)] = \widetilde{F}_1[SL(n+1)]\,.$$

Furthermore, definitions give also

$$\varphi_i = \chi_i - \chi_{i+1} \qquad \forall\, i = 1, \dots, n$$

hence the k–span of $\{\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_{n+1}\}$ modulo $(q-1)$ is contained in the k–span of $\{\chi_1, \dots, \chi_{n+1}\}$ modulo $(q-1)$; moreover, the relation

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n+1} r_{1,1}r_{2,2}\cdots r_{i-1,i-1}\chi_i =$$

$$= \sum_{\sigma \in S_{n+1}\setminus\{1\}} (-q)^{l(\sigma)}(q-1)^{e(\sigma)-1}\big(1+q^{-1}\big)^{e(\sigma)} r_{1,\sigma(1)}r_{2,\sigma(2)}\cdots r_{n+1,\sigma(n+1)}$$

for $q=1$ turns into

$$\chi_1 + \cdots + \chi_{n+1} = 0\,;$$

thus the k–span of $\{\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_{n+1}\}$ modulo $(q-1)$ and the k–span of $\{\chi_1, \dots, \chi_{n+1}\}$ modulo $(q-1)$ have both dimension n, hence they coincide. We conclude that

$$\widetilde{F}_1^Q[SL(n+1)] = \widetilde{F}_1[SL(n+1)] = \widetilde{F}_1^P[SL(n+1)]$$

whence the claim. $\square$

§ 5 $\widetilde{F}_q^M[SL(n+1)]$ as approximation of $\mathcal{F}^M[SL(n+1)]$

5.1 Motivations. To explain the definitions of the integer forms of §4 some comments are in order. We resume the analysis in [Ga], using the same notation, and make it more explicit for $G = SL(n+1)$.

Given the quantized universal enveloping algebra $U_q^P\big(\mathfrak{sl}(n+1)\big)$, resp. $U_q^Q\big(\mathfrak{sl}(n+1)\big)$, there exists a $k\big[q,q^{-1}\big]$–integer form (as Hopf algebra) $\mathcal{U}^P\big(\mathfrak{s}l(n+1)\big)$, resp. $\mathcal{U}^P\big(\mathfrak{s}l(n+1)\big)$ (cf. [Ga], §3.4); then we define (cf. [Ga], §4.3)

$$\mathcal{F}^Q[SL(n+1)] := \Big\{\, f \in F_q^Q[SL(n+1)] \,\Big|\, \Big\langle f, \mathcal{U}^P\big(\mathfrak{sl}(n+1)\big)\Big\rangle \subseteq k\big[q,q^{-1}\big] \,\Big\}$$

$$\mathcal{F}^P[SL(n+1)] := \Big\{\, f \in F_q^P[SL(n+1)] \,\Big|\, \Big\langle f, \mathcal{U}^Q\big(\mathfrak{sl}(n+1)\big)\Big\rangle \subseteq k\big[q,q^{-1}\big] \,\Big\}$$

From the very definition of $\mathcal{U}^P$, one sees that $\widetilde{F}_q^Q[SL(n+1)] \subseteq \mathcal{F}^Q[SL(n+1)]$, and similarly, $\widetilde{F}_q^P[SL(n+1)] \subseteq \mathcal{F}^P[SL(n+1)]$. But even more, we shall prove in this section that $\widetilde{F}_q^Q[SL(n+1)]$, resp. $\widetilde{F}_q^P[SL(n+1)]$, is a "good enough approximation" of $\mathcal{F}^Q[SL(n+1)]$, resp. $\mathcal{F}^P[SL(n+1)]$, in the sense that they have the same specialization at $q=1$.

One of the main points in [Ga] is the construction of a (topological) Hopf algebra $U_q^P(\mathfrak{h})$, with a $k\big[q,q^{-1}\big]$–integer form $\mathfrak{U}^P(\mathfrak{h})$ which specializes to $U(\mathfrak{h})$ for $q \rightarrow 1$. The link with quantum function algebras is the existence of an embedding of (topological) Hopf algebras

$$\xi_P \colon F_q^P[SL(n+1)] \lhook\joinrel\longrightarrow U_q^P(\mathfrak{h}) \; ;$$

via this embedding one has $\xi_P\left(\mathcal{F}^P[SL(n+1)]\right) \subseteq \mathfrak{U}^P(\mathfrak{h})$. In addition, one has also $\xi_P\left(\mathcal{F}^P[SL(n+1)]\right)\Big|_{q=1} = \mathfrak{U}^P(\mathfrak{h})\Big|_{q=1}$, so that $\mathfrak{U}^P(\mathfrak{h}) \xrightarrow{q\rightarrow 1} U(\mathfrak{h})$ implies

$$\mathcal{F}^P[SL(n+1)] \xrightarrow{q\rightarrow 1} U(\mathfrak{h}) \, .$$

The embedding $\xi_P \colon F_q^P[SL(n+1)] \hookrightarrow U_q^P(\mathfrak{h})$ is the composition of an embedding

$$\mu_P \colon F_q^P[G] \xrightarrow{\Delta} F_q^P[G] \otimes F_q^P[G] \xrightarrow{\rho_+ \otimes \rho_-} F_q^P[B_+] \otimes F_q^P[B_-] \xrightarrow{\vartheta_+ \otimes \vartheta_-} U_q^P(\mathfrak{b}_-)_{op} \otimes U_q^P(\mathfrak{b}_+)_{op}$$

(where $G = SL(n+1)$, and $B_\pm$ and $\mathfrak{b}_\pm$ denotes as usual Borel subgroups and Borel subalgebras) and an isomorphism ν_P^{-1} of a suitable subalgebra of $U_q^P(\mathfrak{b}_-)_{op} \otimes U_q^P(\mathfrak{b}_+)_{op}$ (containing $\mu_P\big(F_q^P[SL(n+1)]\big)$) with $U_q^P(\mathfrak{h})$; hereafter, H_{op} will denote the (unique) Hopf algebra with the same structure of H but for comultiplication, which is turned into the opposite one. Everything holds as well with P and Q exchanging their roles; on the other hand, since by definition is $F_q^Q[SL(n+1)] \subseteq F_q^P[SL(n+1)]$, $U_q^Q(\mathfrak{h}) \subseteq U_q^P(\mathfrak{h})$, and $\xi_Q = \xi_P\big|_{F_q^Q[SL(n+1)]}$, $\mu_Q = \mu_P\big|_{F_q^Q[SL(n+1)]}$, it will be enough to study μ_P. To this end, we have to revisit the definition of $U_q^M(\mathfrak{sl}(n+1))$ and its quantum Borel subalgebras $U_q^M(\mathfrak{b}_\pm)$ $(M = Q, P)$, and the construction of quantum root vectors: this will be done in next sections. Here we recall the definition of $F_q^P[B_+]$ and $F_q^P[B_-]$ and the canonical epimorphisms ρ_+ and ρ_-.

$F_q^P[B_+]$, resp. $F_q^P[B_-]$, is the unital associative $k(q)$–algebra generated by $\{\rho_{ij} \mid i,j = 1,\dots,n+1; i \leq j\}$, resp. by $\{\rho_{ij} \mid i,j = 1,\dots,n+1; i \geq j\}$, with relations

$$\begin{aligned} &\rho_{ij}\rho_{ik} = q\,\rho_{ik}\rho_{ij} \;, \qquad \rho_{ik}\rho_{hk} = q\,\rho_{hk}\rho_{ik} && \forall\, j<k, i<h \\ &\rho_{il}\rho_{jk} = \rho_{jk}\rho_{il} \;, \qquad \rho_{ik}\rho_{jl} - \rho_{jl}\rho_{ik} = \left(q-q^{-1}\right)\,\rho_{il}\rho_{jk} && \forall\, i<j, k<l \\ &\rho_{1,1}\rho_{2,2}\cdots\rho_{n+1,n+1} = 1 \end{aligned}$$

for either algebras. These are Hopf algebras too, with comultiplication given by

$$\Delta(\rho_{ij}) = \sum_{k=i}^{n} \rho_{ik} \otimes \rho_{kj} \qquad \forall\, i,j \qquad \text{for } \; F_q^P[B_+] \, ,$$

$$\Delta(\rho_{ij}) = \sum_{k=1}^{j} \rho_{ik} \otimes \rho_{kj} \qquad \forall\, i,j \qquad \text{for } \; F_q^P[B_-] \, ,$$

counit by

$$\epsilon(\rho_{ij}) = \delta_{ij} \qquad \forall\, i, j$$

for either algebras, and antipode by

$$\begin{aligned} S(\rho_{ij}) &= (-q)^{j-i} det_q^+ \left((\rho_{hk})_{h \neq j}^{k \neq i} \right) && \forall\, i, j \quad \text{for } F_q^{P}[B_+]\,, \\ S(\rho_{ij}) &= (-q)^{j-i} det_q^- \left((\rho_{hk})_{h \neq j}^{k \neq i} \right) && \forall\, i, j \quad \text{for } F_q^{P}[B_-]\,, \end{aligned}$$

where det_q^+, resp. det_q^-, is the expression that one gets simply by setting $\rho_{ij} \equiv 0$ for all $i > j$, resp. $i < j$, in det_q.

By the very definitions, two Hopf algebra epimorphisms exist

$$\rho_+ : F_q^{P}[SL(n+1)] \relbar\joinrel\twoheadrightarrow F_q^{P}[B_+]\,, \qquad \rho_- : F_q^{P}[SL(n+1)] \relbar\joinrel\twoheadrightarrow F_q^{P}[B_-]\,,$$

given by

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_+ :& \quad \rho_{ij} \mapsto \rho_{ij} \quad \forall\, i \leq j\,, \qquad \rho_{ij} \mapsto 0 \ \ \forall\, i > j \\ \rho_+ :& \quad \rho_{ij} \mapsto \rho_{ij} \quad \forall\, i \geq j\,, \qquad \rho_{ij} \mapsto 0 \ \ \forall\, i < j\,. \end{aligned}$$

5.2 The quantum algebras $U_q\big(\mathfrak{gl}(n+1)\big)$, $U_q\big(\mathfrak{sl}(n+1)\big)$, and $U_q^{P}(\mathfrak{b}_\pm)$. We recall (cf. for instance [GL]) the definition of the quantized universal enveloping algebra $U_q\big(\mathfrak{gl}(n+1)\big)$: it is the associative algebra with 1 over $k(q)$ with generators

$$F_1, F_2, \dots, F_n, G_1^{\pm 1}, G_2^{\pm 1}, \dots, G_n^{\pm 1}, G_{n+1}^{\pm 1}, E_1, E_2, \dots, E_n$$

and relations

$$\begin{aligned} G_i G_i^{-1} = 1 = G_i^{-1} G_i\,, &\qquad G_i^{\pm 1} G_j^{\pm 1} = G_j^{\pm 1} G_i^{\pm 1} && \forall\, i, j \\ G_i F_j G_i^{-1} = q^{\delta_{i,j+1} - \delta_{i,j}} F_j\,, &\qquad G_i E_j G_i^{-1} = q^{\delta_{i,j} - \delta_{i,j+1}} E_j && \forall\, i, j \\ E_i F_j - F_j E_i &= \delta_{i,j} \frac{G_i G_{i+1}^{-1} - G_i^{-1} G_{i+1}}{q - q^{-1}} && \forall\, i, j \\ E_i E_j = E_j E_i\,, &\qquad F_i F_j = F_j F_i && \forall\, i, j \colon |i-j| > 1 \\ E_i^2 E_j - \left(q + q^{-1}\right) E_i E_j E_i + E_j E_i^2 &= 0 && \forall\, i, j \colon |i-j| = 1 \\ F_i^2 F_j - \left(q + q^{-1}\right) F_i F_j F_i + F_j F_i^2 &= 0 && \forall\, i, j \colon |i-j| = 1\,. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, $U_q\big(\mathfrak{gl}(n+1)\big)$ has a Hopf algebra structure, given by

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta(F_i) = F_i \otimes G_i^{-1} G_{i+1} + 1 \otimes F_i\,, &\qquad S(F_i) = -F_i G_i G_{i+1}^{-1}\,, \qquad \epsilon(F_i) = 0 \qquad \forall\, i \\ \Delta\left(G_i^{\pm 1}\right) = G_i^{\pm 1} \otimes G_i^{\pm 1}\,, &\qquad S\left(G_i^{\pm 1}\right) = G_i^{\mp 1}\,, \qquad \epsilon\left(G_i^{\pm 1}\right) = 1 \qquad \forall\, i \\ \Delta(E_i) = E_i \otimes 1 + G_i G_{i+1}^{-1} \otimes E_i\,, &\qquad S(E_i) = -G_i^{-1} G_{i+1} E_i\,, \qquad \epsilon(E_i) = 0 \qquad \forall\, i\,. \end{aligned}$$

The algebras $U_q^{P}\big(\mathfrak{sl}(n+1)\big)$ and $U_q^{Q}\big(\mathfrak{sl}(n+1)\big)$ — defined as in [Ga], §3 — can be realized as Hopf subalgebras or quotients of $U_q\big(\mathfrak{gl}(n+1)\big)$. Namely, define elements

$$L_i := G_1 \cdots G_i\,, \quad L_i^{-1} := G_1^{-1} \cdots G_i^{-1}\,, \quad K_i := G_i G_{i+1}^{-1}\,, \quad K_i^{-1} := G_i^{-1} G_{i+1}$$

for all $i = 1, \dots, n$. Then L_{n+1} is a central element of $U_q\big(\mathfrak{gl}(n+1)\big)$, and $U_q^P\big(\mathfrak{sl}(n+1)\big)$ is (isomorphic to) the subalgebra of $U_q\big(\mathfrak{gl}(n+1)\big)$ generated by $\{F_1, \dots, F_n, L_1^{\pm 1}, \dots, L_n^{\pm 1}, E_1, \dots, E_n\}$ — this corresponds to $\mathfrak{sl}(n+1) \hookrightarrow \mathfrak{gl}(n+1)$ — and to the quotient of $U_q\big(\mathfrak{gl}(n+1)\big)$ modulo the ideal (which is a *Hopf* ideal) generated by $(L_{n+1} - 1)$ — which corresponds to $\mathfrak{sl}(n+1) \cong \mathfrak{gl}(n+1)\big/(I_{n+1}) = \mathfrak{gl}(n+1)\big/Z\big(\mathfrak{gl}(n+1)\big)$. Similarly, the algebra $U_q^Q\big(\mathfrak{sl}(n+1)\big)$ is (isomorphic to) the subalgebra of $U_q\big(\mathfrak{gl}(n+1)\big)$ generated by $\{F_1, \dots, F_n, K_1^{\pm 1}, \dots, K_n^{\pm 1}, E_1, \dots, E_n\}$ — this again corresponds to $\mathfrak{sl}(n+1) \hookrightarrow \mathfrak{gl}(n+1)$.

A last word about "toral elements" G_i, L_i, K_i. In the "classical" framework we have toral elements $h_i = h_{\alpha_i}$ in the (diagonal) Cartan subalgebra of $\mathfrak{sl}(n+1)\big(\subseteq \mathfrak{gl}(n+1)\big)$, given by $h_i = M_{i,i} - M_{i+1,i+1}$ (where $M_{r,s}$ denotes a square matrix of size $n+1$ as in §2); similarly, letting $\ell_i = M_{11} + M_{22} + \cdots + M_{ii}$, we have $h_i = -\ell_{i-1} + 2\ell_i - \ell_{i+1}$. Now, G_i is the q–analogue of $M_{i,i}$ — in fact, on the standard representation it acts exactly as $\exp\big(hM_{i,i}\big)$, where $h := \log(q)$ — therefore $K_i := G_i G_{i+1}^{-1} = \exp\big(h\, M_{i,i}\big) \cdot \exp\big(h\, M_{i+1,i+1}\big)^{-1} = \exp\big(h\,(M_{i,i} - M_{i+1,i+1})\big) = \exp\big(h \cdot h_i\big)$ is exactly the q–analogue of h_i; similarly, L_i is the q–analogue of ℓ_i.

As for quantum Borel subalgebras, we recall that $U_q^P(\mathfrak{b}_+)$, resp. $U_q^P(\mathfrak{b}_-)$, is — by definition — the subalgebra of $U_q^P\big(\mathfrak{sl}(n+1)\big)$ generated by $\{L_1, \dots, L_n\} \cup \{E_1, \dots, E_n\}$, resp. by $\{L_1, \dots, L_n\} \cup \{F_1, \dots, F_n\}$; similar definitions occur for $U_q^Q(\mathfrak{b}_\pm)$, with K_i's instead of L_i's. All these are in fact *Hopf* subalgebras.

Finally, there exist Hopf algebra isomorphisms

$$\vartheta_+ : F_q^P[B_+] \xrightarrow{\;\cong\;} U_q^P(\mathfrak{b}_-)_{op}\,, \qquad \vartheta_- : F_q^P[B_-] \xrightarrow{\;\cong\;} U_q^P(\mathfrak{b}_+)_{op}$$

which are uniquely determined by

$$\begin{aligned} &\vartheta_+(\rho_{ii}) := L_{i-1} L_i^{-1} = G_i^{-1}\,, \quad \vartheta_+(\rho_{i,i+1}) := -\overline{F}_i L_i L_{i+1}^{-1} = -\overline{F}_i G_{i+1}^{-1}\,, && \forall\, i \\ &\vartheta_-(\rho_{ii}) := L_{i-1}^{-1} L_i = G_i\,, \quad \vartheta_-(\rho_{i+1,i}) := +L_i^{-1} L_{i+1} \overline{E}_i = +G_{i+1} \overline{E}_i\,, && \forall\, i \end{aligned}$$

(here we set $L_0 := 1$, $L_{n+1} := 1$), where $\overline{F}_i := \big(q - q^{-1}\big)\, F_i$, $\overline{E}_i := \big(q - q^{-1}\big)\, E_i$.

5.3 Quantum root vectors. Quantum root vectors are essential in [Ga]: according to a general recipe provided by Lusztig, they are constructed by means of braid group operators T_i $(i = 1, \dots, n)$, which in our case are given by (with the normalization of [Ga])

$$T_i\colon \left\{ \begin{array}{llll} F_j \mapsto -K_j^{-1} E_j\,, & K_j \mapsto K_j^{-1}\,, & E_j \mapsto -F_j K_j\,, & \text{if } \ |i-j| = 0 \\ F_j \mapsto F_j\,, & K_j \mapsto K_j\,, & E_j \mapsto E_j\,, & \text{if } \ |i-j| > 1 \\ F_j \mapsto -F_j F_i + q F_i F_j\,, & K_j \mapsto K_i K_j\,, & E_j \mapsto -E_i E_j + q^{-1} E_j E_i\,, & \text{if } \ |i-j| = 1 \end{array} \right.$$

Thus letting $[x,y]_q := xy - q\,yx$ be the q–bracket of x and y we have

$$T_i(F_j) = q\,[F_i, F_j]_{q^{-1}} = -[F_j, F_i]_q\,, \ \ T_i(E_j) = -[E_i, E_j]_{q^{-1}} = q^{-1}[E_j, E_i]_q \ \text{ for } \ |i-j| = 1.$$

Consider now the case $G = SL(n+1)$, $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sl}(n+1)$. We want to compare Lusztig's construction of quantum root vectors with another one (which is used, for instance, in [Ji] and in [Ta]).

In the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{sl}(n+1)$ we have matrices M_{ij} $(i,j \in \{1,2,\dots,n\}, i<j)$ such that

$$M_{i,i+1} = e_i \; , \qquad \big[M_{ik}, M_{kj}\big] = M_{ij} \; , \qquad \forall \, i<k<j$$

where e_i denotes the i–th Chevalley generator of the positive part of $\mathfrak{sl}(n+1)$, the Lie subalgebra $\mathfrak{n}_+$ of strictly upper triangular matrices. Moreover, these matrices are root vectors, i. e. weight vectors — for the adjoint action — with roots as weights: namely, M_{ij} has weight the positive root $\alpha(i,j) := \varepsilon_i - \varepsilon_j$, where ε_k denotes the (k,k)–th coordinate function on matrices. *Notice that the same is true for* $-[M_{ik}, M_{kj}] = -M_{ij}$. Then the *level* of M_{ij}, defined to be $j-i$, is the *height* of the root $\alpha(i,j)$. A similar situation occurs for the subalgebra $\mathfrak{n}_-$ of strictly lower triangular matrices, with elements M_{ij} $(i>j)$ as root vectors of weight the negative roots $\alpha(i,j)$ of height $j-i$. In particular, $\big\{ M_{ij} \,\big|\, i<j \big\}$ is a basis of $\mathfrak{n}_+$, and $\big\{ M_{ij} \,\big|\, i>j \big\}$ is a basis of $\mathfrak{n}_-$.

The situation described above can be quantized. In fact in the standard representation of $U_q^P\big(\mathfrak{sl}(n+1)\big)$ or $U_q^Q\big(\mathfrak{sl}(n+1)\big)$ we still have $E_i \mapsto M_{i,i+1}$, i.e. E_i acts as the matrix $M_{i,i+1}$; then we define (for all $i<j-1$)

$$\begin{aligned} E_{i,i+1} &:= E_i \; , \qquad E_{i,j} := -\big[E_{i,j-1}, E_{j-1,j}\big]_{q^{-1}} = q^{-1}\big[E_{j-1,j}, E_{i,j-1}\big]_q \\ F_{j+1,j} &:= F_i \; , \qquad F_{j,i} := q\,\big[F_{j-1,i}, F_{j,j-1}\big]_{q^{-1}} = -\big[F_{j,j-1}, F_{j-1,i}\big]_q \end{aligned} \tag{5.1}$$

(notice the occurrence of the ”$-$” sign, which does not appear in [Ji], nor in [Ta]); then remark also that in the standard representation of $U_q^P\big(\mathfrak{sl}(n+1)\big)$ or $U_q^Q\big(\mathfrak{sl}(n+1)\big)$ we have $E_{ij} \mapsto (-1)^{j-i-1} M_{ij}$ and $F_{ji} \mapsto (-1)^{j-i-1} M_{ji}$, for all $i<j$.

Now look at roots, for instance positive ones: they form the set

$$R^+ := \big\{ \alpha(i,j) \,\big|\, i,j = 1,\dots,n+1; i<j \big\} \; ;$$

if we set $n(i,j) := i - j + \sum_{h=0}^{i-1} (n-h)$, we obtain a total ordering of R^+ by

$$\alpha(i,j) \preceq \alpha(h,k) \iff n(i,j) \leq n(h,k)$$

so that $R^+ = \big\{ \alpha^1, \alpha^2, \dots, \alpha^N \big\}$, with $\alpha(i,j) =: \alpha^{n(i,j)}$, $N := \binom{n+1}{2}$.

The first key point is the following lemma, whose proof is trivial:

Lemma. *The previously defined ordering of R^+ is convex, that is*

$$\alpha, \beta, \alpha+\beta \in R^+, \alpha \preceq \beta \implies \alpha \preceq \alpha+\beta \preceq \beta \, . \; \square$$

By a theorem of Papi (cf. [Pa]) we know that every total ordering of R^+ which is convex is associated to a unique minimal expression of $w_0 = (n \; n{-}1 \; \dots \; 3 \, 2 \, 1)$, the longest element of the Weyl group S_n of $\mathfrak{sl}(n+1)$: this means that, if $w_0 = s_{i_1} s_{i_2} \cdots s_{i_{N-1}} s_{i_N}$ is a minimal expression of w_0, then the ordering of R^+ is given by

$$\alpha^1 = \alpha_{i_1} \, , \; \alpha^2 = s_{i_1}\big(\alpha_{i_2}\big) \, , \; \dots \, , \; \alpha^k = s_{i_1} s_{i_2} \cdots s_{i_{k-1}}\big(\alpha_{i_k}\big) \, , \; \dots \, , \; \alpha^N = s_{i_1} s_{i_2} \cdots s_{i_{N-1}}\big(\alpha_{i_N}\big) \, .$$

In the present situation we can also write down explicitely the minimal expression of w_0 afforded by the given ordering of R^+: it is

$$w_0 = s_1 s_2 s_3 \cdots s_{n-1} s_n s_1 s_2 \cdots s_{n-1} s_1 s_2 \cdots s_{n-3} s_1 s_2 \cdots s_4 s_1 s_2 s_3 s_1 s_2 s_1 \ .$$

Starting from any minimal expression of w_0, Lusztig constructs quantum root vectors via the formulae

$$E_{\alpha^k} := T_{w_{k-1}}(E_{i_k}) = T_{i_1} T_{i_2} \cdots T_{i_{k-1}}(E_{i_k}) \ , \qquad F_{\alpha^k} := T_{w_{k-1}}(F_{i_k}) = T_{i_1} T_{i_2} \cdots T_{i_{k-1}}(F_{i_k})$$

where $w_0 = s_{i_1} s_{i_2} \cdots s_{i_N}$ is the given minimal expression, $w_h := s_{i_1} s_{i_2} \cdots s_{i_h}$, and $\alpha^k = w_{k-1}(\alpha_{i_k})$ gives the associated convex ordering of R^+. In our case this construction gives quantum root vectors (for all $i < j$)

$$\begin{aligned} E_{\alpha^{n(i,j)}} &:= T_1 T_2 \cdots T_n T_1 T_2 \cdots T_{n-1} T_1 \cdots T_{n-i+1} T_1 T_2 \cdots T_{j-i-1} \left(E_{j-i}\right) \ , \\ F_{\alpha^{n(i,j)}} &:= T_1 T_2 \cdots T_n T_1 T_2 \cdots T_{n-1} T_1 \cdots T_{n-i+1} T_1 T_2 \cdots T_{j-i-1} \left(F_{j-i}\right) \ . \end{aligned}$$

Theorem. *For all $i, j = 1, 2, \dots, n+1$ with $i < j$ we have*

$$E_{\alpha^{n(i,j)}} = E_{ij} \ , \qquad F_{\alpha^{n(i,j)}} = F_{ji} \ .$$

Proof. We make the proof for the "E" case, the "F" case is the like.

We have two possibilities, $j = i+1$ and $j > i+1$. If $j = i+1$ we have

$$\alpha^{n(i,j)} = s_1 s_2 \cdots s_n s_1 s_2 \cdots s_{n-i+2} s_1 s_2 \cdots s_{n-i+1}(\alpha_1) = s_1 s_2 \cdots s_n s_1 s_2 \cdots s_{n-i+2} s_1 s_2(\alpha_1)$$

because $s_h(\alpha_k) = \alpha_k$ for all $|h-k| > 1$; since $s_1 s_2(\alpha_1) = \alpha_2$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha^{n(i,j)} &= s_1 s_2 \cdots s_n s_1 s_2 \cdots s_{n-i+2}(\alpha_2) = \\ &= s_1 s_2 \cdots s_n s_1 s_2 \cdots s_{n-i+3} s_1 s_2 s_3(\alpha_2) = s_1 s_2 \cdots s_n s_1 s_2 \cdots s_{n-i+3}(\alpha_3) \ ; \end{aligned}$$

thus iterating we obtain

$$\alpha^{n(i,j)} = \alpha_i \ ;$$

then by Lusztig's work we know that $E_{\alpha^{n(i,j)}} = E_{\alpha_i} = E_i$; on the other hand, $j = i+1$ gives $E_{i,j} = E_{i,i+1} = E_i$ by definition, hence $E_{\alpha^{n(i,j)}} = E_{i,j}$, q.e.d.

If $j > i+1$ we apply the definitions to get

$$\begin{aligned} E_{\alpha^{n(i,j)}} &:= T_1 T_2 \cdots T_n T_1 T_2 \cdots T_{n-1} T_1 \cdots T_{n-i+1} T_1 T_2 \cdots T_{j-i-2} T_{j-i-1} \left(E_{j-i}\right) \\ &= T_1 T_2 \cdots T_n T_1 T_2 \cdots T_{n-1} T_1 \cdots T_{n-i+1} T_1 T_2 \cdots T_{j-i-2} \left(-[E_{j-i-1}, E_{j-i}]_{q^{-1}} \right) = \\ &= -[T_1 \cdots T_{n-i+1} T_1 \cdots T_{j-i-2} \left(E_{j-i-1}\right), T_1 \cdots T_{n-i+1} T_1 \cdots T_{j-i-2} \left(E_{j-i}\right)]_{q^{-1}} = \\ &= -[E_{\alpha^{n(i,j-1)}}, T_1 T_2 \cdots T_n T_1 T_2 \cdots T_{n-i+1} T_1 T_2 \cdots T_{j-i-2} \left(E_{j-i}\right)]_{q^{-1}} \ ; \end{aligned}$$

but now we have

$$\begin{aligned} & T_1 T_2 \cdots T_n T_1 T_2 \cdots T_{n-i+2} \cdots T_1 T_2 \cdots T_{n-i+1} T_1 T_2 \cdots T_{j-i-2} \left(E_{j-i}\right) = \\ & \qquad = T_1 T_2 \cdots T_n T_1 T_2 \cdots T_{n-i+2} T_1 T_2 \cdots T_{j-i-1} T_{j-i} T_{j-i+1} \left(E_{j-i}\right) = \\ & \qquad\qquad = T_1 T_2 \cdots T_n T_1 T_2 \cdots T_{n-i+2} T_1 T_2 \cdots T_{j-i-1} \left(E_{j-i+1}\right) \end{aligned}$$

for $T_k T_{k+1} \left(E_k\right) = E_{k+1}$ for all k ; then a simple iteration gives

$$T_1 T_2 \cdots T_n T_1 T_2 \cdots T_{n-i+2} \cdots T_1 T_2 \cdots T_{n-i+1} T_1 T_2 \cdots T_{j-i-2} \left(E_{j-i}\right) = E_{j-1} \ .$$

Therefore we have

$$E_{\alpha^{n(i,j)}} = -{\left[E_{\alpha^{n(i,j-1)}} \, , E_{j-1}\right]}_{q^{-1}} \ ;$$

since $(j-1)-i < j-i$, we can use induction on the height of roots and assume $E_{\alpha^{n(i,j-1)}} = E_{i,j-1}$; on the other hand, $E_{j-1} = E_{j-1,j}$, by definition; thus we find $E_{\alpha^{n(i,j)}} = -{\left[E_{i,j-1} \, , E_{j-1,j}\right]}_{q^{-1}}$, and — by definition again — the right-hand-side term is nothing but $E_{i,j}$. The claim follows. □

5.4 The embedding $\mu_M \colon F_q^P[SL(n+1)] \hookrightarrow {U_q^P(\mathfrak{b}_-)}_{op} \otimes {U_q^P(\mathfrak{b}_+)}_{op}$ and specialization results. We are now ready to go on with the analysis of the embedding

$$\mu_P \colon F_q^P[G] \xrightarrow{\Delta} F_q^P[G] \otimes F_q^P[G] \xrightarrow{\rho_+ \otimes \rho_-} F_q^P[B_+] \otimes F_q^P[B_-] \xrightarrow{\vartheta_+ \otimes \vartheta_-} {U_q^P(\mathfrak{b}_-)}_{op} \otimes {U_q^P(\mathfrak{b}_+)}_{op}$$

($G = SL(n+1)$): here Δ is the comultiplication of the Hopf algebra $F_q^M[SL(n+1)]$, $\rho_\pm \colon F_q^M[SL(n+1)] \twoheadrightarrow F_q^M[B_\pm]$ are the natural epimorphisms of §5.1, and the maps $\vartheta_\pm \colon F_q^M[B_\pm] \xrightarrow{\cong} {U_q^M(\mathfrak{b}_\mp)}_{op}$ are the isomorphisms given in §5.2.

To begin with, we go back to the study of $\widetilde{F}_q^Q[SL(n+1)]$: lifting the identities (4.2) gives relations (inside $\widetilde{F}_q^Q[SL(n+1)]$)

$$\begin{aligned} r_{ij} &= +\big[r_{i,j-1} \, , \, r_{j-1,j}\big] + \mathcal{O}(q-1) = -\big[r_{j-1,j} \, , r_{i,j-1}\big] + \mathcal{O}(q-1) \quad \forall\, i < j-1 \, , \\ r_{ji} &= -\big[r_{j,j-1} \, , \, r_{j-1,i}\big] + \mathcal{O}(q-1) = +\big[r_{j-1,i} \, , \, r_{j,j-1}\big] + \mathcal{O}(q-1) \quad \forall\, j > i+1 \, . \end{aligned} \tag{5.1}$$

Now look at the isomorphism $\vartheta_+ \colon F_q^P[B_+] \xrightarrow{\cong} {U_q^P(\mathfrak{b}_-)}_{op}$; it maps $\rho_{ii} = r_{ii}$ onto $L_{i-1} L_i^{-1} = G_i^{-1}$, and $\rho_{i,i+1}$ onto $-\overline{F}_i L_i L_{i+1}^{-1} = -\overline{F}_i + G_{i+1}^{-1}$, hence $r_{i,i+1}$ onto $-F_i L_i L_{i+1}^{-1}$. But remark that $r_{ii} = 1 + \mathcal{O}(q-1)$, and so also $G_i = 1 + \mathcal{O}(q-1)$, $K_i = 1 + \mathcal{O}(q-1)$, $L_i = 1 + \mathcal{O}(q-1)$, where the symbol $\mathcal{O}(q-1)$ denotes some element of $(q-1)\,\mathfrak{U}^P(\mathfrak{b}_-)$ (notations of [Ga]): thus we have also $\vartheta_+\big(r_{i,i+1}\big) = -F_i + \mathcal{O}(q-1)$.

Therefore, using the first relation of (5.1), Theorem 5.3, and the fact that ${[\ ,\ ]}_q \equiv {[\ ,\ ]}_{q^{-1}} \equiv [\ ,\ ] \mod (q-1)$, by a simple iterating procedure we find that

$$\vartheta_+\big(r_{ij}\big) = (-1)^{j-i} F_{j,i} + \mathcal{O}(q-1) = (-1)^{j-i} F_{\alpha^{n(i,j)}} + \mathcal{O}(q-1) \qquad \forall\, i < j \, .$$

Similarly, by the same arguments we can prove that

$$\vartheta_-\big(r_{ji}\big) = (-1)^{j-i+1} E_{i,j} + \mathcal{O}(q-1) = (-1)^{j-i+1} E_{\alpha^{n(i,j)}} + \mathcal{O}(q-1) \qquad \forall\, j > i \, .$$

Now, from the definition of Δ and $\vartheta_\pm$ we get a description of $\mu_P(r_{i,i+1})$ as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mu_P(r_{i,i+1}) = (\vartheta_+\otimes\vartheta_-)\Big((\rho_+\otimes\rho_-)\Big(\Delta\big(r_{i,i+1}\big)\Big)\Big) = \\
&= (\vartheta_+\otimes\vartheta_-)\left((\rho_+\otimes\rho_-)\left(r_{i,i}\otimes r_{i,i+1} + r_{i,i+1}\otimes r_{i+1,i+1} + \big(q-q^{-1}\big)\sum_{\substack{k=1\\ k\neq i,j}}^{n+1} r_{i,k}\otimes r_{k,i+1}\right)\right) = \\
&\qquad\qquad = (\vartheta_+\otimes\vartheta_-)\left(r_{i,i+1}\otimes r_{i+1,i+1} + \big(q-q^{-1}\big)\sum_{k=i+2}^{n+1} r_{i,k}\otimes r_{k,i+1}\right) = \\
&\qquad = -F_i\,G_{i+1}^{-1}\otimes G_{i+1} + \mathcal{O}(q-1) + \big(q-q^{-1}\big)\sum_{k=i+2}^{n+1} F_{\alpha(k,i)}\otimes E_{\alpha(i+1,k)} + \mathcal{O}\Big((q-1)^2\Big) = \\
&\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad = -F_i\,G_{i+1}^{-1}\otimes G_{i+1} + \mathcal{O}(q-1) = -F_i\otimes 1 + \mathcal{O}(q-1)
\end{aligned}$$

where the symbol $\mathcal{O}(q-1)$ denotes some element of $(q-1)\,\mathfrak{U}^P(\mathfrak{b}_-)\otimes\mathfrak{U}^P(\mathfrak{b}_+)$ (note that the last algebra is mapped by ν_P^{-1} into $\mathfrak{U}^P(\mathfrak{h})$); recalling from [Ga] the definition of ν_P^{-1} : $\mathfrak{U}^P(\mathfrak{b}_-)\otimes\mathfrak{U}^P(\mathfrak{b}_+)\longrightarrow\mathfrak{U}^P(\mathfrak{h})$, for $\xi_P = \mu_P\circ\nu_P^{-1}$ we find

$$\xi_P\,(r_{i,i+1}) = -F_i + \mathcal{O}(q-1)$$

and in general $\xi_P\,(r_{i,j}) = (-1)^{j-i}F_{j,i} + \mathcal{O}(q-1)$, for all $i<j$. A similar analysis yields

$$\xi_P\,(r_{i+1,i}) = +E_i + \mathcal{O}(q-1)$$

and in general $\xi_P\,(r_{j,i}) = (-1)^{j-i+1}E_{i,j} + \mathcal{O}(q-1)$, for all $j>i$, and also

$$\xi_P\,(r_{i,i}) = G_i + \mathcal{O}\left((q-1)^2\right) = L_{i-1}^{-1}L_i + \mathcal{O}\left((q-1)^2\right) \;;$$

furthermore, the latter implies

$$\begin{aligned}
\xi_P\,(\varphi_i) &= \xi_P\left(\frac{r_{i,i}-r_{i+1,i+1}}{q-1}\right) = \frac{G_i-G_{i+1}}{q-1} + \mathcal{O}(q-1) = \\
&\qquad = G_{i+1}\cdot\frac{G_iG_{i+1}^{-1}-1}{q-1} + \mathcal{O}(q-1) = G_{i+1}\cdot\frac{K_i-1}{q-1} + \mathcal{O}(q-1) = \\
&\qquad\qquad\qquad = \frac{K_i-1}{q-1} + \mathcal{O}(q-1) = \begin{pmatrix} K_i;0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} + \mathcal{O}(q-1)\,, \\
\xi_P\,(\psi_i) &= \xi_P\left(\frac{r_{1,1}\cdots r_{i,i}-1}{q-1}\right) = \frac{G_1\cdots G_i-1}{q-1} + \mathcal{O}(q-1) = \\
&\qquad\qquad\qquad = \frac{L_i-1}{q-1} + \mathcal{O}(q-1) = \begin{pmatrix} L_i;0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} + \mathcal{O}(q-1)\,, \\
\xi_P\,(\chi_i) &= \xi_P\left(\frac{r_{i,i}-1}{q-1}\right) = \frac{G_i-1}{q-1} + \mathcal{O}(q-1) = \begin{pmatrix} G_i;0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} + \mathcal{O}(q-1)\,.
\end{aligned}$$

Remark: in light of the previous formulae and of the remarks in §5.2 about toral elements of $U_q\big(\mathfrak{gl}(n+1)\big)$, one has that

$$\begin{gathered} \varphi_i \text{ is the } q\text{–analogue of } h_i \,, \\ \psi_i \text{ is the } q\text{–analogue of } \ell_i \,, \\ \chi_i \text{ is the } q\text{–analogue of } M_{i,i} \,; \end{gathered}$$

on the other hand, the definition of these elements can also be motivated directly in quantum matrix terms. In the classical framework, we have

$$h_i = M_{i,i} - M_{i+1,i+1} \;, \qquad \ell_i = M_{1,1} + M_{2,2} + \cdots + M_{i,i} \;; \tag{5.2}$$

Now, $r_{i,i}$ is the i–th diagonal quantum matrix coefficient, that is the q–analogue of the "classical" matrix coefficient $M_{i,i}$; hence we should have, in principle,

$$\frac{r_{i,i}-1}{q-1}\bigg|_{q=1} = M_{i,i} \;;$$

such a relation is completely meaningful, and was our reason to define $\chi := \frac{r_{i,i}-1}{q-1}$. As G_i too is a q–analogue of $M_{i,i}$, the relation

$$\xi_P\,(\chi_i) = \begin{pmatrix} G_i;\,0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} + \mathcal{O}(q-1)$$

is not surprising. By the way, we remark that the special relation

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n+1} r_{1,1} r_{2,2} \cdots r_{i-1,i-1} \chi_i = \\ = \sum_{\sigma \in S_{n+1} \setminus \{1\}} (-q)^{l(\sigma)} (q-1)^{e(\sigma)-1} \big(1+q^{-1}\big)^{e(\sigma)} r_{1,\sigma(1)} r_{2,\sigma(2)} \cdots r_{n+1,\sigma(n+1)}$$

which arises from the relation $det_q\big(\rho_{ij}\big) = 1$, for $q = 1$ turns into

$$\chi_1 + \chi_2 + \cdots + \chi_{n+1} = 0$$

that is a relation like $Tr(x) = 0$.

Moreover, relations (5.2) should have quantum counterparts

$$\text{"}q\text{–analogue of } h_i\text{"} = r_{i,i} \cdot r_{i+1,i+1}^{-1} \;, \qquad \text{"}q\text{–analogue of } \ell_i\text{"} = r_{1,1} r_{2,2} \cdots r_{i,i} \;, \tag{5.3}$$

which should yield

$$\frac{r_{i,i} \cdot r_{i+1,i+1}^{-1} - 1}{q-1}\bigg|_{q=1} = h_i \;, \qquad \frac{r_{1,1} r_{2,2} \cdots r_{i,i} - 1}{q-1}\bigg|_{q=1} = \ell_i \;; \tag{5.4}$$

now, the second relation in (5.3) is completely meaningful, so it moved us to define ψ by $\psi_i := \frac{r_{1,1} r_{2,2} \cdots r_{i,i} - 1}{q-1}$; on the other hand, the first one instead is meaningless, for $r_{j,j}^{-1}$ does not exist; but since $r_{j,j} \equiv 1 \mod (q-1)$, we should have also

$$\text{"}q\text{–analogue of } h_i\text{"} = \text{"}q\text{–analogue of } h_i\text{"} \cdot r_{i+1,i+1} = r_{i,i} \cdot r_{i+1,i+1}^{-1} \cdot r_{i+1,i+1} = r_{i,i}$$

whence the first relation in (5.4) turns into

$$\left.\frac{r_{i,i}-r_{i+1,i+1}}{q-1}\right|_{q=1} = \left.\left(\frac{r_{i,i}\cdot r_{i+1,i+1}^{-1}-1}{q-1}\cdot r_{i+1,i+1}\right)\right|_{q=1} = h_i$$

which provides a completely meaningful expression for a (tentative) q–analogue of h_i ; that's why defined $\varphi_i := \frac{r_{i,i}-r_{i+1,i+1}}{q-1}$. Notice that also L_i , resp. K_i , is a q–analogue of ℓ_i , resp. h_i : this explain the relation

$$\xi_{\scriptscriptstyle P}\,(\psi_i) = \binom{L_i;\,0}{1} + \mathcal{O}(q-1)\ , \qquad \text{resp.} \quad \xi_{\scriptscriptstyle P}\,(\varphi_i) = \binom{K_i;\,0}{1} + \mathcal{O}(q-1)$$

Conclusion. The result of all the analysis above is that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\xi_{\scriptscriptstyle P}\Big|_{q=1}\Big(\widetilde{F}_q^{\scriptscriptstyle P}[SL(n+1)]\Big/(q-1)\,\widetilde{F}_q^{\scriptscriptstyle P}[SL(n+1)]\Big) = \\
&\qquad = \xi_{\scriptscriptstyle P}\Big(\widetilde{F}_q^{\scriptscriptstyle P}[SL(n+1)]\Big)\Big/(q-1)\,\xi_{\scriptscriptstyle P}\Big(\widetilde{F}_q^{\scriptscriptstyle P}[SL(n+1)]\Big) = \mathfrak{U}^{\scriptscriptstyle P}(\mathfrak{h})\Big/(q-1)\,\mathfrak{U}^{\scriptscriptstyle P}(\mathfrak{h})\,, \\
&\xi_{\scriptscriptstyle Q}\Big|_{q=1}\Big(\widetilde{F}_q^{\scriptscriptstyle Q}[SL(n+1)]\Big/(q-1)\,\widetilde{F}_q^{\scriptscriptstyle Q}[SL(n+1)]\Big) = \\
&\qquad = \xi_{\scriptscriptstyle Q}\Big(\widetilde{F}_q^{\scriptscriptstyle Q}[SL(n+1)]\Big)\Big/(q-1)\,\xi_{\scriptscriptstyle Q}\Big(\widetilde{F}_q^{\scriptscriptstyle Q}[SL(n+1)]\Big) = \mathfrak{U}^{\scriptscriptstyle Q}(\mathfrak{h})\Big/(q-1)\,\mathfrak{U}^{\scriptscriptstyle Q}(\mathfrak{h})\,, \\
&\xi_{\scriptscriptstyle P}\Big|_{q=1}\Big(\widetilde{F}_q[SL(n+1)]\Big/(q-1)\ \widetilde{F}_q[SL(n+1)]\Big) = \\
&\qquad = \xi_{\scriptscriptstyle P}\Big(\widetilde{F}_q[SL(n+1)]\Big)\Big/(q-1)\,\xi_{\scriptscriptstyle P}\Big(\widetilde{F}_q[SL(n+1)]\Big) = \mathfrak{U}^{\scriptscriptstyle P}(\mathfrak{h})\Big/(q-1)\,\mathfrak{U}^{\scriptscriptstyle P}(\mathfrak{h})\,,
\end{aligned}$$

because elements F_i, $\binom{L_i;\,0}{1}$ — resp. $\binom{K_i;\,0}{1}$, resp. $\binom{G_i;\,0}{1}$ — and E_i are enough to generate $\mathfrak{U}^{\scriptscriptstyle P}(\mathfrak{h})\big/(q-1)\,\mathfrak{U}^{\scriptscriptstyle P}(\mathfrak{h})$, resp. $\mathfrak{U}^{\scriptscriptstyle Q}(\mathfrak{h})\big/(q-1)\,\mathfrak{U}^{\scriptscriptstyle Q}(\mathfrak{h})$, resp. $\mathfrak{U}^{\scriptscriptstyle P}(\mathfrak{h})\big/(q-1)\,\mathfrak{U}^{\scriptscriptstyle P}(\mathfrak{h})$. In particular, in this sense we claim that "$\widetilde{F}_q^{\scriptscriptstyle Q}[SL(n+1)]$, resp. $\widetilde{F}_q^{\scriptscriptstyle P}[SL(n+1)]$, is an approximation of $\mathcal{F}^{\scriptscriptstyle Q}[SL(n+1)]$, resp. $\mathcal{F}^{\scriptscriptstyle P}[SL(n+1)]$".

It is worth stressing that this implies that Theorem 4.1 is a direct consequence of the specialization results about $\mathcal{F}^{\scriptscriptstyle P}[SL(n+1)]$ and $\mathcal{F}^{\scriptscriptstyle Q}[SL(n+1)]$ proved in [Ga], §7 (Theorem 7.3); conversely, those results follows from Theorem 4.1:

Theorem. *$\mathcal{F}^{\scriptscriptstyle Q}[SL(n+1)]$ and $\mathcal{F}^{\scriptscriptstyle P}[SL(n+1)]$ specialize to $U(\mathfrak{h})$ as Poisson Hopf coalgebras for $q \to 1$. The same holds for $\mathfrak{U}^{\scriptscriptstyle Q}(\mathfrak{h})$ and $\mathfrak{U}^{\scriptscriptstyle P}(\mathfrak{h})$ too.*

Proof. To be short let us set $A\big|_{q=1} := A\big/(q-1)\,A$ for any $k\big[q,q^{-1}\big]$–algebra. Now, we have $\widetilde{F}_q^{\scriptscriptstyle P}[SL(n+1)] \subseteq \mathcal{F}^{\scriptscriptstyle P}[SL(n+1)] \subseteq \mathfrak{U}^{\scriptscriptstyle P}(\mathfrak{h})$, and the analysis above shows — through and together with that in [Ga] — that $\widetilde{F}_q^{\scriptscriptstyle P}[SL(n+1)]\Big|_{q=1} \cong \mathfrak{U}^{\scriptscriptstyle P}(\mathfrak{h})\Big|_{q=1}$, hence

$$\widetilde{F}_q^{\scriptscriptstyle P}[SL(n+1)]\Big|_{q=1} \cong \mathcal{F}^{\scriptscriptstyle P}[SL(n+1)]\Big|_{q=1} \cong \mathfrak{U}^{\scriptscriptstyle P}(\mathfrak{h})\Big|_{q=1} ;$$

the same holds with Q instead of P. Then the claim follows from Theorem 4.1. □

§ 6 Generalization to $F_q[GL(n+1)]$

6.1 The quantum matrix-function algebra $F_q[M(n+1)]$. We introduce the *quantum matrix-function algebra* of order $n+1$ ($n \in \mathbb{N}$), to be called $F_q[M(n+1)]$, as follows.

By definition, $F_q[M(n+1)]$ is the associative $k(q)$–algebra with 1 generated by $\{\, x_{ij} \mid i,j = 1, \dots, n+1 \,\}$ with relations

$$\begin{aligned} x_{ij} x_{ik} &= q\, x_{ik} x_{ij} \ , \qquad & x_{ik} x_{hk} &= q\, x_{hk} x_{ik} \qquad & \forall\, j<k, i<h \\ x_{il} x_{jk} &= x_{jk} x_{il} \ , \qquad & x_{ik} x_{jl} - x_{jl} x_{ik} &= \left(q - q^{-1}\right) x_{il} x_{jk} \qquad & \forall\, i<j, k<l \end{aligned}$$

(that is, the same of $F_q^P[SL(n+1)]$ but for the relation "quantum determinant = 1"). This is a bialgebra, with co-operations defined by

$$\Delta(x_{ij}) = \sum_{k=1}^{n} x_{ik} \otimes x_{kj} \, , \qquad \epsilon(x_{ij}) = \delta_{ij} \qquad \forall\, i,j \, .$$

From the very definition we get a bialgebra epimorphism

$$\pi : F_q[M(n+1)] \relbar\joinrel\twoheadrightarrow F_q^P[SL(n+1)] \, , \quad x_{ij} \mapsto \rho_{ij} \qquad \forall\, i,j \, .$$

6.2 The quantum function algebra $F_q[GL(n+1)]$. The element $det_q\big(x_{ij}\big)$ of $F_q[M(n+1)]$ is group-like and central; thus by localization at det_q one can define a new algebra, namely $F_q[M(n+1)]\big[det_q^{-1}\big]$: this is now a Hopf algebra, with bialgebra structure given by extension of that of $F_q[M(n+1)]$ and antipode defined by

$$S(x_{ij}) := (-q)^{j-i} det_q \left((x_{hk})_{h \neq j}^{k \neq i} \right) \qquad \forall\, i,j \, .$$

The Hopf algebra $F_q[GL(n+1)] := F_q[M(n+1)]\,\big[det_q^{-1}\big]$ is *the quantum function algebra of the group* $GL(n+1)$ (cf. [Ta]). It is clear that the bialgebra epimorphism $\pi : F_q[M(n+1)] \twoheadrightarrow F_q^P[SL(n+1)]$ extends to $\pi : F_q[GL(n+1)] \relbar\joinrel\twoheadrightarrow F_q^P[SL(n+1)]$, a Hopf algebra epimorphism whose kernel is the (Hopf) ideal generated by $\Big(det_q\big(x_{ij}\big) - 1\Big)$.

The constructions and results in §§2–4 can be easily extended to $F_q[GL(n+1)]$. It is straightforward to check that $k\big[q,q^{-1}\big]$–integer forms $\widetilde{F}_q^P[GL(n+1)]$ and $\widetilde{F}_q[GL(n+1)]$ of $F_q[GL(n+1)]$ can be defined mimicking the definitions of $\widetilde{F}_q^P[SL(n+1)]$ and $\widetilde{F}_q[SL(n+1)]$, with x_{ij}'s instead of ρ_{ij}'s: then one has a presentation of these algebras by generators and relations (namely, the same as for $\widetilde{F}_q^P[SL(n+1)]$, resp. $\widetilde{F}_q[SL(n+1)]$, but for the relation $\psi_{n+1} = -\sum_{\sigma \in S_{n+1} \setminus \{1\}} (-q)^{l(\sigma)} (q-1)^{e(\sigma)-1} \big(1+q^{-1}\big)^{e(\sigma)} r_{1,\sigma(1)} r_{2,\sigma(2)} \cdots r_{n+1,\sigma(n+1)}$, resp. $\sum_{i=1}^{n+1} r_{1,1} r_{2,2} \cdots r_{i-1,i-1} \chi_i = \sum_{\sigma \in S_{n+1} \setminus \{1\}} (-q)^{l(\sigma)} (q-1)^{e(\sigma)-1} \big(1+q^{-1}\big)^{e(\sigma)} \cdot$ $\cdot r_{1,\sigma(1)} r_{2,\sigma(2)} \cdots r_{n+1,\sigma(n+1)}$). The upshot is that the specialization at $q=1$ of both of these integer forms is a Poisson Hopf coalgebra isomorphic to $U\big(\mathfrak{h}'\big)$, where $\mathfrak{h}'$ is the

Lie bialgebra obtained by central extension of $\mathfrak{h}$ by an element c (namely, $c = \chi_{n+1}\big|_{q=1}$) such that

$$\begin{gathered} cx - xc = 0 \qquad \forall\, x \in \mathfrak{h} \qquad\quad \text{(i.e. } c \text{ is central)} \\ \Delta(c) = c \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes c\,, \quad \epsilon(c) = 0\,, \quad S(c) = -c \\ \delta(c) = 4 \cdot \sum_{k=1}^{n} \mathrm{f}_{n+1,k} \wedge \mathrm{e}_{k,n+1} \end{gathered}$$

Thus again the quantum function algebra $F_q[GL(n+1)]$ can be seen as a quantum enveloping algebra, namely sort of a "$U_q\big(\mathfrak{h}'\big)$".

§ 7 PBW theorems

In this section we shall prove some PBW theorems for $F_q^P[SL(n+1)]$: that is, we shall exhibit some $k(q)$–basis of ordered monomials in the ρ_{ij}'s for this algebra. To begin withs, we recall (cf. [Ko], [PW]) that, whenever we fix any total order in the set of generators $\{\, x_{ij} \mid i,j = 1, \dots, n+1 \,\}$, the following PBW-type theorem holds for $F_q[M(n+1)]$:

Proposition 7.1. *The set of ordered monomials in the generators x_{ij}'s is a $k(q)$–basis of $F_q[M(n+1)]$.* $\square$

Now we wish to prove a similar result for $F_q^P[SL(n+1)]$; to this end we need a "triangularization argument", which is now explained. Define

$N_+ :=k(q)$–subalgebra of $F_q[M(n+1)]$ generated by $\{\, x_{ij} \mid j < n+2-i \,\}$,
$N_0 :=k(q)$–subalgebra of $F_q[M(n+1)]$ generated by $\{\, x_{ij} \mid j = n+2-i \,\}$,
$N_- :=k(q)$–subalgebra of $F_q[M(n+1)]$ generated by $\{\, x_{ij} \mid j > n+2-i \,\}$;

then we have the following result, whose proof easily follows from definitions and Proposition 7.1:

Proposition 7.2. *Let any total order in $\{\, x_{ij} \mid i,j = 1, \dots, n+1 \,\}$ be fixed. Then:*

(a) the set of ordered monomials

$$\Big\{ \prod_{j<n+2-i} x_{ij}^{m_{ij}} \,\Big|\, m_{ij} \in \mathbb{N} \;\forall\, i,j \Big\}$$

is a $k(q)$–basis of N_+ ; the set of ordered monomials

$$\Big\{ \prod_{i} x_{i,n+2-i}^{m_i} \,\Big|\, m_i \in \mathbb{N} \;\forall\, i \Big\}$$

is a $k(q)$–basis of N_0 ; the set of ordered monomials

$$\Big\{ \prod_{j>n+2-i} x_{ij}^{m_{ij}} \,\Big|\, m_{ij} \in \mathbb{N} \;\forall\, i,j \Big\}$$

is a $k(q)$–basis of N_- ;

(b) N_0 is a commutative subalgebra of $F_q[M(n+1)]$;

(c) (Triangular Decomposition) the multiplication in $F_q[M(n+1)]$ gives a $k(q)$–vector space isomorphism

$$F_q[M(n+1)] \cong N_+ \otimes N_0 \otimes N_- \ . \quad \square$$

We are now ready for the first PBW theorem for $F_q^P[SL(n+1)]$.

Theorem 7.3 (1^{st} PBW theorem for $F_q^P[SL(n+1)]$). *Let $\preceq$ be any fixed total ordering of the index set $\{ (i,j) \mid i,j = 1, \dots, n \}$ such that $(i,j) \preceq (h,k) \preceq (l,m)$ for all i, j, h, k, l, m such that $i < n+1-j$, $h = n+1-k$, $l > n+1-m$. Then the set of ordered monomials*

$$M' := \left\{ \prod_{i<n+2-j} \rho_{ij}^{N_{ij}} \prod_{k=n+2-h} \rho_{hk}^{N_h} \prod_{l>n+2-m} \rho_{lm}^{N_{lm}} \,\middle|\, N_{st} \in \mathbb{N} \ \forall\, s,t\,;\, min\{N_1, \dots, N_{n+1}\} = 0 \right\}$$

is a $k(q)$–basis of $F_q^P[SL(n+1)]$.

Proof. We prove now that the above set does span $F_q^P[SL(n+1)]$; the linear independence will be an easy consequence of Theorem 7.4 below.

Since $F_q^P[SL(n+1)]$ is a homomorphic image of $F_q[M(n+1)]$, it is clear that the whole set (without restriction on the indices N_h's) of ordered monomials in the ρ_{ij}'s does span $F_q^P[SL(n+1)]$ over $k(q)$. Now pick up any monomial

$$m(\underline{N}) := \prod_{i<n+2-j} \rho_{ij}^{N_{ij}} \cdot \prod_{k=n+2-h} \rho_{hk}^{N_h} \cdot \prod_{l>n+2-m} \rho_{lm}^{N_{lm}}$$

such that $d := min\{N_1, \dots, N_{n+1}\} > 0$; since the generators $\rho_{h,n+2-h}$ $(h = 1 \dots, n+1)$ commute with each other, we can single out of the "$\pi(N_0)$–part" (with respect to the triangular decomposition inherited from that in Proposition 7.2(c)) $n_0 := \prod_{k=n+2-h} \rho_{hk}^{N_h} = \prod_{h=1}^{n+1} \rho_{h,n+2-h}^{N_h}$ of $m(\underline{N})$ a factor $\rho_{1,n+1}\rho_{2,n} \cdots \rho_{n+1,1}$, and we can do it d times. Now using the relation $det_q\big(\rho_{ij}\big) - 1 = 0$ we substitute the factor $\rho_{1,n+1}\rho_{2,n} \cdots \rho_{n+1,1}$ in $m(\underline{N})$ with

$$(-q)^{\binom{n+1}{2}} - \sum_{\sigma \in S_{n+1} \setminus \{w_0\}} (-q)^{\binom{n+1}{2} - \ell(\sigma)} \cdot \rho_{1,\sigma(1)}\rho_{2,\sigma(2)} \cdots \rho_{n+1,\sigma(n+1)} \tag{7.1}$$

where w_0 is the longest element of S_{n+1} ; now look at the various summands $\rho_{1,\sigma(1)}\rho_{2,\sigma(2)} \cdots \rho_{n+1,\sigma(n+1)}$ (up to the proper coefficient) coming in, with $\sigma \neq w_0$: whenever we have $\sigma(j+1) < \sigma(j)$ the commutation rules give

$$\rho_{j,\sigma(j)}\rho_{j+1,\sigma(j+1)} = \rho_{j+1,\sigma(j+1)}\rho_{j,\sigma(j)} \, ;$$

in particular, if $j \geq n+2-\sigma(j)$ and $j+1 \leq n+2-\sigma(j+1)$ we have exactly $\rho_{j,\sigma(j)}\rho_{j+1,\sigma(j+1)} = \rho_{j+1,\sigma(j+1)}\rho_{j,\sigma(j)}$; it follows that we can factor out the monomial $\rho_{1,\sigma(1)}\rho_{2,\sigma(2)} \cdots \rho_{n+1,\sigma(n+1)}$ as

$$\rho_{1,\sigma(1)}\rho_{2,\sigma(2)} \cdots \rho_{n+1,\sigma(n+1)} = n_+ \cdot n_0' \cdot n_-$$

with $n_+ \in \pi(N_+)$, $n_0' \in \pi(N_0)$, $n_- \in \pi(N_-)$, and $deg\,(n_0') < deg(n_0)$ as monomials in the ρ_{ij}'s. Therefore at the end we are left with a new expression of $m(\underline{N})$ as a linear combination of monomials which, with respect to the triangular decomposition inherited from Proposition 7.3(c), have a "$\pi(N_0)$–part" of lower degree; then a simple induction argument finishes the proof. $\square$

We conclude with our second PBW theorem: this is the most interesting, because it is directly related to the classical PBW theorem attached to the natural triangular decomposition of $U(\mathfrak{h})$.

Theorem 7.4 (2^{nd} PBW theorem for $F_q^P[SL(n+1)]$). *Let $\preceq$ be any fixed total ordering of the index set $\{ (i,j) \mid i,j = 1, \dots, n \}$ such that $(i,j) \preceq (h,k) \preceq (l,m)$ for all i, j, h, k, l, m such that $i > j$, $h = k$, $l < m$. Then the set of ordered monomials*

$$M := \left\{ \prod_{i>j} \rho_{ij}^{N_{ij}} \prod_{h=k} \rho_{hk}^{N_{hk}} \prod_{l<m} \rho_{lm}^{N_{lm}} \,\middle|\, N_{st} \in \mathbb{N} \; \forall \, s,t \, ; \, min\{ N_{1,1}, \dots, N_{n+1,n+1} \} = 0 \right\}$$

is a $k(q)$–basis of $F_q^P[SL(n+1)]$.

Proof. As $F_q[M(n+1)]$ is clearly $\mathbb{N}^{(n+1)^2}$–graded (by the degree in each variable), it is also $\mathbb{N}$–graded (by the total degree); hence $F_q^P[SL(n+1)]$ inherits a filtration, arising from the filtration of $F_q[M(n+1)]$ associated to the $\mathbb{N}$–grading, say

$$F_0 \subset F_1 \subset F_2 \subset \cdots \subset F_r \subset \cdots \subset F_q^P[SL(n+1)] = \bigcup_{r=0}^{+\infty} F_r \; ;$$

furthermore, we have $M = \cup_{r=0}^{+\infty} M_r$, with $M_r := M \cap F_r$ for all $r \in \mathbb{N}$.

Similarly we have $M' = \cup_{r=0}^{+\infty} M'_r$, with $M'_r := M' \cap F_r$ for all $r \in \mathbb{N}$, where M' is the set of ordered monomials defined in Proposition 7.4 above: in particular, M'_r spans F_r over $k(q)$. Finally the very definitions ensure that

$$\#\big(M_r\big) = \#\big(M'_r\big) \qquad \forall \, r \in \mathbb{N} \, . \tag{7.2}$$

Now consider the specialization $F_q^P[SL(n+1)] \xrightarrow{q \rightarrow 1} F[SL(n+1)]$ and the corresponding set $M^{(1)}$ of "specialized monomials", i.e. the image of M under the epimorphism $F_q^P[SL(n+1)] \twoheadrightarrow F_1^P[SL(n+1)] = F[SL(n+1)]$: if we prove that $M^{(1)}$ is a linearly independent set (over k), then the same will be true for M (over $k(q)$); in particular M_r will be linearly independent ($\forall r \in \mathbb{N}$), hence it will be a $k(q)$–basis of F_r ($\forall r \in \mathbb{N}$), because of (7.2), whence finally M will be a $k(q)$–basis of $F_q^P[SL(n+1)]$. Thus let us prove that the set $M^{(1)}$ is linearly independent over k.

Assume we have in $F_1^P[SL(n+1)] = F[SL(n+1)]$ a relation

$$\sum_{m \in M^{(1)}} a_m \cdot m = 0 \tag{7.3}$$

for some (finitely many) $a_m \in k \setminus \{0\}$; then (7.3) lifts up to a relation in $k\big[\{z_{ij}\}_{i,j=1,\dots,n+1}\big]$

$$\sum_{m \in M^{(1)}} a_m \cdot m(z) = b(z) \cdot \Big(det\big(z_{ij}\big) - 1 \Big) \tag{7.4}$$

for some $b(z) \in k\big[\{z_{ij}\}_{i,j=1,\dots,n+1}\big]$, the $m(z)$'s having the obvious meaning. If $b(z) = 0$, then (7.4) gives a non-trivial algebraic relation among the z_{ij}'s, which is impossible; if $b(z) \neq 0$, then the right-hand-side of (7.4) involves — with non-zero coefficient — the monomial $z_{1,1} z_{2,2} \cdots z_{n+1,n+1}$ (coming out of $det\big(z_{ij}\big)$), while each monomial $m(z)$ in the left-hand-side does not contain the factor $z_{1,1} z_{2,2} \cdots z_{n+1,n+1}$; thus again (7.4) yields a non-trivial algebraic relation among the z_{ij}'s, which is impossible. The claim follows. □

References

[APW] H. H. Andersen, P. Polo, Wen Kexin, *Representations of quantum algebras*, Invent. Math. **104** (1991), 1–59.

[DKP] C. De Concini, V. G. Kac, C. Procesi, *Quantum coadjoint action*, Jour. Am. Math. Soc. **5** (1992), 151 – 189.

[DL] C. De Concini, V. Lyubashenko, *Quantum function algebra at roots of 1*, Adv. Math. **108** (1994), 205 – 262.

[DP] C. De Concini, C. Procesi, *Quantum groups*, in L. Boutet de Monvel, C. De Concini, C. Procesi, P. Schapira, M. Vergne (eds.), *D-modules, Representation Theory, and Quantum Groups*, Lectures Notes in Mathematics **1565**, Springer & Verlag, Berlin–Heidelberg–New York, 1993.

[Dr] V. G. Drinfeld, *Quantum groups*, Proc. ICM Berkeley 1 (1986), 789–820.

[Ga] F. Gavarini, *Quantization of Poisson groups*, to appear in Pac. Jour. Math. (preprint q-alg/9604007).

[GL] I. Grojnowski, G. Lusztig, *On bases of irreducible representation of quantum group* GL_n , in V. Deodhar (ed.), *Kazhdan-Lusztig theory and related topics*, Cont. Math. **139** (1992), 167–174.

[Ko] H. T. Koelink, *On $*$–representations of the Hopf $*$–algebra associated with the quantum group* $U_q(n)$, Compositio Mathematicae **77** (1992), 199–231.

[Ji] M. Jimbo, *A q-analogue of U(gl(N+1)), Hecke Algebras and the Yang Baxter equation*, Lett. Math. Phys. **10** (1985), 63–69.

[Pa] P. Papi, *A characterization of a good ordering in a root system*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **120** (1994), 661–665.

[PW] B. Parshall, J. Wang, *Quantum linear groups*, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. **89** (1991), n^o 439.

[Ta] M. Takeuchi, *Some topics on* $GL_q(n)$, J. Algebra **147** (1992), 379–410.

Institut de Recherche Mathématique Avancée
Université "Louis Pasteur" – C.N.R.S.
7, rue René Descartes
67084 STRASBOURG Cedex — FRANCE
e-mail: gavarini@math.u-strasbg.fr
gavarini@mat.uniroma1.it
gavarini@mat.uniroma3.it